arXiv:1303.4437v1 [math.RT] 18 Mar 2013

GLOBAL WEYL MODULES FOR EQUIVARIANT MAP ALGEBRAS

GHISLAIN FOURIER, NATHAN MANNING, AND ALISTAIR SAVAGE

Abstract. Equivariant map algebras are Lie algebras of algebraic maps from a scheme (or algebraic variety) to a *target* finite-dimensional Lie algebra (in the case of the current paper, we assume the latter is a simple Lie algebra) that are equivariant with respect to the action of a finite group. In the first part of this paper, we define global Weyl modules for equivariant map algebras satisfying a mild assumption. We then identify a commutative algebra $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ that acts naturally on the global Weyl modules, which leads to a Weyl functor from the category of $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-modules to the category of modules for the equivariant map algebra in question. These definitions extend the ones previously given for generalized current algebras (i.e. untwisted map algebras) and twisted loop algebras.

In the second part of the paper, we restrict our attention to equivariant map algebras where the group involved is abelian, acts on the target Lie algebra by diagram automorphisms, and freely on (the set of rational points of) the scheme. Under these additional assumptions, we prove that $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ is finitely generated and the global Weyl module is a finitely generated $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-module. We also define *local* Weyl modules via the Weyl functor and prove that these coincide with the local Weyl modules defined directly in [FKKS12]. Finally, we show that $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ is the algebra of coinvariants of the analogous algebra in the untwisted case.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Equivariant map algebras 5
2. Global Weyl modules 7
3. The Weyl functor 10
4. Properties of global Weyl modules 14
5. Twisting functors 21
6. Local Weyl modules 23
7. The algebra $\mathbf{A}_\lambda^\Gamma$ 28
Appendix A. Some results in commutative algebra 35
References 37

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B65, 17B10.

Key words and phrases. Equivariant map algebra, loop algebra, Weyl module, representation, module.

The first author was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Priority Program 1388 (Representation Theory). The research of the third author was supported by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The second author was partially supported by the Discovery Grant of the third author.

Introduction

Weyl modules for the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ of a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ were introduced by Chari and Pressley more than a decade ago (see [CP01b]). Since then, the study of their properties (for instance, their homological behavior, dimension and character) has been a fruitful and successful process.

The category of finite-dimensional modules for $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is not semisimple. In analogy with the modular representation theory of simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras, for every simple module, there exists a *(local) Weyl module* satisfying certain universal properties. This Weyl module is finite-dimensional and its character and dimension have been studied and computed in a series of papers (see [CP01b, CL06, FL07, Nao12]). Local Weyl modules have been identified with certain Demazure modules of affine Kac-Moody algebras and their characters are also known to be characters of the $q \to 1$ limit of simple modules of the quantum affine algebra (see [FL07]).

In [CP01b] the class of *global Weyl modules* was defined. These modules are projective objects in the category of those $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$-modules whose weights are bounded by some fixed dominant integral $\mathfrak{g}$-weight. Their $\mathfrak{g}$-weight spaces are right modules over polynomial rings in finitely many variables. It was conjectured in [CP01b], and it can be deduced from results in the aforementioned series of papers, that the global Weyl module is a free right module of finite rank for this polynomial ring (see Theorem 4.17).

It turns out that the global Weyl module might be the most interesting object to study in the category of bounded $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$-modules. For instance, it is subject to an analogue of the BGG reciprocity for simple Lie algebras (see [BBC+, BCM12]). Furthermore, its character is known to be the q-Whittaker function, a solution to the q-Toda integrable system (see [BF]).

There are several approaches to generalizing the above objects. In [FL04], local and global Weyl modules were defined in the setting where $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is replaced by the coordinate ring of a complex affine variety. A more general approach was taken in [CFK10]. There the modules for a generalized current algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$, where A is a commutative, associative complex unital algebra were studied. The global Weyl module is again a projective object in a suitable category (see Corollary 3.9) and its weight spaces are right modules for a certain commutative algebra. The *Weyl functor* was introduced and local Weyl modules were studied, together with their homological properties. The algebra of the highest weight space was analyzed and in important cases identified with a tensor product of symmetric powers of A.

A different approach was taken in [CFS08] and [FMS], where $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ was replaced by the twisted loop algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])^{\Gamma}$. This is the fixed point algebra of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ under the action of a group Γ of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$, generated by a Dynkin diagram automorphism. This group acts on $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ by scaling t by roots of unity. It turns out that every local Weyl module of the twisted loop algebra is obtained by restriction from a local Weyl module of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. The global Weyl module was defined in [FMS] and it was shown that it is again a free right module of finite rank for a certain commutative algebra and it can be embedded in a direct sum of global Weyl modules for $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. In [BF, Remark 1.10] it is conjectured that its character solves the q-Toda integrable system in the non-simply laced case.

In [FKKS12] the definition of local Weyl modules was generalized to the setting of *equivariant map algebras*. Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine scheme and Γ a finite group acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ and X by automorphisms. The equivariant map algebra is the Lie algebra of equivariant algebraic maps from X to $\mathfrak{g}$ and is denoted $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$. Local Weyl modules were defined for these algebras under the assumption that X is of finite type, Γ is an abelian group and the action on X is free. A key ingredient in this study was the definition of certain *twisting* and *untwisting* functors that relate the representation theory of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ and $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$. It was also shown that the homological properties of local Weyl modules can be generalized to the setting of equivariant map algebras.

In the current paper we define *global* Weyl modules for equivariant map algebras. The paper can be divided into two parts. The first part comprises Sections 1 to 3. After some preliminaries on equivariant map algebras in Section 1, we define in Section 2 global Weyl modules for equivariant map algebras satisfying a mild assumption (see Assumption 1.2). In particular, this assumption is always satisfied if Γ is cyclic or acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms. We also give a presentation of the global Weyl modules in terms of generators and relations (Proposition 2.8). In Section 3, we extend the notion of Weyl functors to the twisted/equivariant setting. In particular, we define a commutative algebra $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ which acts naturally on the global Weyl module with highest $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weight λ. The Weyl functor is then a functor from the category of $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-modules to the category of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules. We show that these functors (and the global Weyl modules) possess twisted versions of properties satisfied in the untwisted setting.

The second part of the current paper (Sections 4–7) concerns equivariant map algebras for which Γ is abelian, acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by Dynkin diagram automorphisms, and on $\operatorname{maxSpec} A$ freely. (Note that Γ is abelian and acts freely on $\operatorname{maxSpec} A$ in the case of (twisted) loop and multiloop algebras.) Under these additional assumptions, our main results are the following.

(a) (Theorem 4.8) The algebras $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ are finitely generated.
(b) (Theorem 4.10) The global Weyl module (of highest weight λ) is finitely generated as an $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-module.

After recalling the twisting functors introduced in [FKKS12] and proving some additional properties of these functors in Section 5, we turn our attention to local Weyl modules in Section 6. There we define the local Weyl modules as the images of one-dimensional irreducible $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-modules under the Weyl functor (as in the untwisted setting). We show (Proposition 6.6) that the modules so defined coincide with those defined directly (i.e. without the Weyl functor) in [FKKS12]. Finally, in Section 7, we examine the algebra $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$. In particular, we show (Theorem 7.5) that this algebra is isomorphic to a tensor product of symmetric algebras of fixed point subalgebras of A. Under an additional assumption, we also identify (Theorem 7.8) $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ with the algebra of Γ-coinvariants of the algebra $\mathbf{A}^{\lambda'}$ corresponding to the case where Γ is trivial (defined in [CFK10], but denoted $\mathbf{A}_{\lambda'}$ there), where λ' is a $\mathfrak{g}$-weight corresponding to the $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weight λ.

Notation. The set of nonnegative (resp. positive) integers is denoted by $\mathbb{N}$ (resp. $\mathbb{N}_+$). Throughout $\Bbbk$ will denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. All algebras are over $\Bbbk$ unless otherwise indicated and all associative algebras are assumed to be unital. Whenever a group Γ acts on a $\Bbbk$-vector space Y, we denote the subspace of fixed points by Y^Γ. For a ring B, B-mod will denote the category of left B-modules. The notation $S^n B$,

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, will denote the subring $(B^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$ of $B^{\otimes n}$ consisting of elements fixed under the natural action of the symmetric group S_n on $B^{\otimes n}$. Since we work over a field of characteristic zero, this is isomorphic to the quotient of $B^{\otimes n}$ by the ideal generated by the elements $u - \tau(u)$, $u \in B^{\otimes n}$, $\tau \in S_n$.

For a Lie algebra L, we denote its universal enveloping algebra by $\mathcal{U}(L)$. We have the standard filtration $\mathcal{U}(L) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}(L)_n$. When we refer to the nodes of the Dynkin diagram of a simple Lie algebra of rank n by elements of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we are referring to the standard labeling that can be found, for instance, in [Hum72, §11.4]. When we refer to the Dynkin diagram of a reductive Lie algebra, we mean the Dynkin diagram of its semisimple part (i.e. its derived algebra). Since we will need to refer to weights of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and also its subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ fixed by the action of a group Γ, we will typically denote weights of $\mathfrak{g}$ by λ' and weights of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ by λ to avoid confusion.

We will denote by A a finitely generated (hence Noetherian) commutative associative algebra over $\Bbbk$. We let X_{rat} denote the set of k-rational points of $X := \operatorname{Spec} A$. Since A is finitely generated, we have $X_{\mathrm{rat}} = \operatorname{maxSpec} A$. For a point $x \in X_{\mathrm{rat}}$, we will denote the corresponding maximal ideal of A by m_x. In some instances, we will identify a point x with its maximal ideal m_x.

For the reader's convenience, we give here an index of important notation used in the paper.

Index of Notation

$\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$, $\mathfrak{g}^0$, $\mathfrak{g}^\pm$	Page 5	Γ_i, e_i, f_i, h_i	Definition 4.6
Λ_Γ, Λ_Γ^+, Q_Γ, Q_Γ^+	Page 5 (see also (5.2))	$\overline{e_i \otimes a}$, $\overline{f_i \otimes a}$, $\overline{h_i \otimes a}$	Definition 4.6
$\mathcal{U}_\Gamma$, $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0$, $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^\pm$	(1.3)	$\mathbf{V}_\lambda^\Gamma$	Definition 4.13
Ξ	Page 6	$M(\psi)$, $M^\Gamma(\psi)$	Definition 4.14
$\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda'}$, $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma$, $\mathcal{E}_\lambda^\Gamma$, Y_Γ	Definition 1.5	$\operatorname{Supp} J$	Page 21
$\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$, wt, wt_Γ, ht, ht_Γ	Definition 1.5	$\operatorname{Ann}_A^\Gamma V$, $\operatorname{Supp}_A^\Gamma V$	Definition 5.2
$V^\Gamma(\psi)$, $V(\psi)$	Definition 1.6	X_*	Page 22
$\mathcal{I}^\Gamma$, $\mathcal{I}_{\leq\tau}^\Gamma$, $\mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma$	Definition 2.2	$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^\Gamma$	Definition 5.3
$P^\Gamma(V)$	(2.3)	$\mathbf{T}$, $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$	Definition 5.4
$W^\Gamma(V)$	Definition 2.5	$e_{\mathbf{i}}$, $f_{\mathbf{i}}$, $h_{\mathbf{i}}$	(5.1)
$V^\Gamma(\lambda)$, v_λ^Γ	Page 9	$\kappa_{\mathbf{i}}$	Page 22
$W^\Gamma(\lambda)$, w_λ^Γ	Lemma 2.6	$W(\psi)$, $W^\Gamma(\psi)$	Definition 6.1
$\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$, $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0}(w_\lambda)$	Definition 3.2	$J(\psi)$	(6.1)
$\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma$	Definition 3.5	$\mathbb{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$	(7.1)
$\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma$	Definition 3.7	$\tilde{\tau}_\lambda$	(7.5)
R^+, R_Γ^+, Π, Π_Γ	Page 14	τ_λ	Lemma 7.3

Note on the arXiv version. For the interested reader, this arXiv version of this paper includes hidden details of some straightforward computations and arguments that are omitted in the pdf file. These details can be displayed by switching the `details` toggle to true in the tex file and recompiling.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Vyjayanthi Chari, Daniel Daigle, Michael Lau and Erhard Neher for helpful conversations. In particular, they would like to

thank Vyjayanthi Chari for explaining the details of the argument found in the proof of Theorem 4.17(b), Daniel Daigle for providing an outline of the arguments found in Appendix A and some of the commutative algebra arguments in Section 7, Michael Lau for pointing us towards Lemma 1.1 and Erhard Neher for drawing our attention to Example 1.3.

1. Equivariant map algebras

In this section we recall some basic facts about equivariant map algebras. We refer the reader to [NSS12, NSb] for further details.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\Bbbk$, and let Γ be a finite group acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ by automorphisms and on the finitely generated commutative associative algebra A by algebra automorphisms (hence on $\operatorname{Spec} A$ by scheme automorphisms). Thus Γ acts diagonally on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$. As a Lie subalgebra, the set of fixed points $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$ (see [Bou75, Ch. VII, §1, no. 5]). That is, $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ is a reductive Lie algebra, which acts semisimply on $\mathfrak{g}$ by the restriction of the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let I and I_Γ be the set of nodes of the Dynkin diagrams of $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ respectively. Fix a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma = \mathfrak{n}_\Gamma^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\Gamma \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\Gamma^+$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. Denote by Q_Γ^+ the positive root lattice associated to this triangular decomposition and $Q_\Gamma^- = -Q_\Gamma^+$ the negative root lattice. Similarly, let Λ_Γ (resp. Λ_Γ^+) be the corresponding weight lattice (resp. set of dominant integral weights). Relative to $\mathfrak{h}_\Gamma$, choose a set of Chevalley generators $\{e_i^\Gamma, f_i^\Gamma, h_i^\Gamma\}_{i \in I_\Gamma}$.

We have a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_\Gamma^*} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x,\ h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Gamma\},$$

with only finitely many $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ nonzero. We use superscripts here to avoid confusion with the weight spaces of $\mathfrak{g}$ considered as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}^- = \bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha \in Q_\Gamma^- \setminus \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{g}^+ = \bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha \notin Q_\Gamma^-} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha. \tag{1.1}$$

Then clearly $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+$, $\mathfrak{n}_\Gamma^\pm \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\pm$, and $\mathfrak{g}^0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^-$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}^0$ is simply the centralizer $C_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{h}_\Gamma)$ of $\mathfrak{h}_\Gamma$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, since $\Gamma\mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_\Gamma^*$, we see that $\Gamma\mathfrak{g}^\pm = \mathfrak{g}^\pm$ and $\Gamma\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}^0$. Finally, it is not difficult to see that $\mathfrak{g}^0$ is a self-normalizing subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

It may be that $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma = 0$, in which case $\mathfrak{h}_\Gamma = 0$ and so $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}$ is simple. However, we have the following result.

Lemma 1.1. *We have that $\mathfrak{g}^0$ is abelian and hence $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ is nonzero if either of the following conditions hold:*

(a) The group Γ is cyclic.
(b) The group Γ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms with respect to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. That (a) implies the conclusion follows from [Kac90, Lem. 8.1(b)]. If (b) holds, then the action of Γ factors through a cyclic group or the symmetric group S_3 on three letters (in type D_4). Since the fixed point subalgebra in type D_4 is the same for the full S_3-action as it is for the action of the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_3$, we may in fact assume that Γ is cyclic. Thus the result follows from the fact that (a) implies the conclusion. □

Assumption 1.2. For the remainder of this paper we will assume that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^0$ is abelian. By Lemma 1.1, this is true if Γ is cyclic or acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms.

Example 1.3. An example showing that it is possible to have $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ be nonzero and $\mathfrak{g}^0$ be nonabelian is given in [ABFP09, Example 4.3.1]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ defined there. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple of type B_3. Let $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the group generated by the order two automorphisms $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ described in that reference. Then $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $\mathfrak{g}^0$ contains the subalgebra consisting of block diagonal 7×7 matrices with upper left block a 3×3 zero matrix and arbitrary skew-symmetric lower right 4×4 block. Thus $\mathfrak{g}^0$ is not abelian.

Definition 1.4 (Equivariant map algebra)**.** The *map (Lie) algebra* (or *generalized current algebra*) associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and A is the tensor product $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$, with Lie bracket given by extending

$$[u \otimes f, v \otimes g] = [u, v] \otimes fg, \quad u, v \in \mathfrak{g},\ f, g \in A,$$

by linearity. Thus $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ is the Lie algebra of algebraic maps from $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ (identified with affine space) equipped with pointwise multiplication. The associated *equivariant map (Lie) algebra* is the Lie algebra of fixed points $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \otimes A$, where we consider the diagonal action of Γ on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$. Thus $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ consisting of those maps which are equivariant with respect to the action of Γ.

Since Γ respects the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+$, we have a decomposition

$$(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma = (\mathfrak{g}^- \otimes A)^\Gamma \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^0 \otimes A)^\Gamma \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma. \tag{1.2}$$

We let

$$\mathcal{U}_\Gamma := \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma), \quad \mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0 := \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g}^0 \otimes A)^\Gamma), \quad \mathcal{U}_\Gamma^\pm := \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g}^\pm \otimes A)^\Gamma). \tag{1.3}$$

Let Ξ be the character group of Γ. This is an abelian group, whose group operation we will write additively. Hence, 0 is the character of the trivial one-dimensional representation, and if an irreducible representation affords the character ξ, then $-\xi$ is the character of the dual representation.

If Γ is abelian and acts on an algebra B by automorphisms, it is well known that $B = \bigoplus_{\xi \in \Xi} B_\xi$ is a Ξ-grading, where B_ξ is the isotypic component of type ξ. It follows that $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$ can be written as

$$(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma = \bigoplus_{\xi \in \Xi} \mathfrak{g}_\xi \otimes A_{-\xi}, \tag{1.4}$$

since $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_\xi \mathfrak{g}_\xi$ and $A = \bigoplus_\xi A_\xi$ are Ξ-graded and $(\mathfrak{g}_\xi \otimes A_{\xi'})^\Gamma = 0$ if $\xi' \neq -\xi$. The decomposition (1.4) is an algebra Ξ-grading.

For the remainder of this section we assume that Γ is abelian, acts freely on X_{rat}, and acts by diagram automorphisms on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the set of nodes I_Γ of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ can be naturally identified with the set of Γ-orbits in I. We will often equate the two in what follows.

Definition 1.5 ($\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma$, Y_Γ, $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$, wt, wt_Γ, ht, ht_Γ, $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda'}$, $\mathcal{E}_\lambda^\Gamma$)**.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the set of finitely supported functions $\psi \colon X_{\mathrm{rat}} \to \Lambda^+$. Here the support of $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$ is

$$\operatorname{Supp} \psi = \{x \in X_{\mathrm{rat}} \mid \psi(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Since Γ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms, it acts naturally on Λ^+. We let $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{E}$ consisting of those functions which are Γ-equivariant.

For a Γ-invariant subset Y of X_{rat}, let Y_Γ denote the set of subsets of Y containing exactly one point from each Γ-orbit in Y. For $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{x} \in (\operatorname{Supp}\psi)_\Gamma$, define

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}} \colon X_{\mathrm{rat}} \to \Lambda^+, \quad \psi_{\mathbf{x}}(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{x}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbf{x}. \end{cases}$$

For $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$, we define

$$\operatorname{wt}\psi := \textstyle\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Supp}\psi} \psi(x) \in \Lambda^+.$$

If $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$, we define

$$\operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi := (\operatorname{wt}\psi_{\mathbf{x}})|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma} \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in (\operatorname{Supp}\psi)_\Gamma$$

(this definition is independent of the choice of $\mathbf{x}$).

For $\lambda' \in \Lambda$, write $\lambda' = \sum_{i \in I} k_i \alpha_i$, $k_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, as a linear combination of simple roots, and define

$$\operatorname{ht}\lambda' := \textstyle\sum_{i \in I} k_i.$$

Similarly, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Gamma$, write $\lambda = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I_\Gamma} k_{\mathbf{i}} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$, $k_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, as a linear combination of simple roots, and define

$$\operatorname{ht}_\Gamma \lambda := \textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I_\Gamma} k_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

For $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$ (resp. $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$), we define

$$\operatorname{ht}\psi := \operatorname{ht}(\operatorname{wt}\psi), \quad (\text{resp. } \operatorname{ht}_\Gamma \psi := \operatorname{ht}_\Gamma(\operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi)).$$

For $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+$ (resp. $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Gamma^+$), define $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda'} := \{\psi \in \mathcal{E} \mid \operatorname{wt}\psi = \lambda'\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_\lambda^\Gamma := \{\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma \mid \operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi = \lambda\}$).

Since Γ acts freely on X_{rat}, the isotropy of any point of X_{rat} is trivial. Having fixed a triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$, the irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ are enumerated by the set Λ^+ of dominant integral weights (by associating to a representation its highest weight). Thus, by [NSS12, Th. 5.5], the irreducible finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules are enumerated by the set $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma$.

Definition 1.6 (Modules $V^\Gamma(\psi)$, $V(\psi)$)**.** For $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$, we let $V^\Gamma(\psi)$ denote the corresponding irreducible finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module. Similarly, for $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$, we let $V(\psi)$ denote the corresponding irreducible finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)$-module.

2. Global Weyl modules

In this section we introduce our main object of study, the global Weyl module. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a reductive Lie algebra. Then, given a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$, the irreducible finite-dimensional modules of $\mathfrak{a}$ are naturally enumerated by the dominant integral weights of $\mathfrak{a}$. For a dominant integral weight λ of $\mathfrak{a}$, let $V(\lambda)$ denote the irreducible $\mathfrak{a}$-module of highest weight λ and let $v_\lambda \in V(\lambda)$ be a highest weight vector.

Definition 2.1 (Partial order on $\operatorname{Irr}\mathfrak{a}$)**.** Suppose that V_1 and V_2 are finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{a}$-modules. If we fix a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$, then V_i has a highest weight λ_i, $i = 1, 2$. We say that $V_1 \leq V_2$ if $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ lies in the positive root lattice of $\mathfrak{a}$. This partial order is independent of the particular choice of triangular decomposition. It induces a partial order on the set $\operatorname{Irr}\mathfrak{a}$ of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{a}$-modules.

Let V be a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{a}$-modules. Thus we have a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{a}} V_\sigma, \tag{2.1}$$

where V_σ is the σ isotypic component of V for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{a}$. For $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{a}$, we define

$$V_{\not\leq \tau} := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{a},\, \sigma \not\leq \tau} V_\sigma. \tag{2.2}$$

We will identify $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ with the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes \Bbbk = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Bbbk)^\Gamma \subseteq (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$. For a $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module V and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\Gamma^*$ (for some Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_\Gamma$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$), we denote by V_λ the λ weight space of V, where V is considered as a $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module by restriction.

Definition 2.2 (Categories $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma$, $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq \tau}$ and $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq \lambda}$)**.** Let $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma$ denote the full subcategory of the category of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules whose objects are the modules whose restriction to $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ are direct sums of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-modules. For $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, let $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq \tau}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma$ whose objects consist of those modules whose σ isotypic components are zero for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, $\sigma \not\leq \tau$. That is, the objects of $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq \tau}$ are $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules V whose decomposition into isotypic components is of the form $V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \leq \tau} V_\sigma$. If we have fixed a triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, then $\operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ can be identified with the set Λ^+_Γ of dominant integral weights for $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. In this case, we will sometimes write $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq \lambda}$ instead of $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq \tau}$, where τ is the isomorphism class of the $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module of highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$. If Γ is the trivial group, we often omit the superscripts Γ.

Lemma 2.3. *If V is a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{a}$-modules, then so is the tensor algebra $T(V) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty V^{\otimes n}$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the action of $\mathfrak{a}$ preserves each summand $V^{\otimes n}$, which clearly has the given property. □

Lemma 2.4. *If V is a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-modules, then the induced module*

$$P^\Gamma(V) := \mathcal{U}_\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma)} V \tag{2.3}$$

is a projective object in the category $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma$.

Proof. Consider the action of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ given by (restriction of) the adjoint action on the first factor. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a completely reducible $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module, it follows that $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ is a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-modules. It is easily checked that $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ preserves the subalgebra $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$, which therefore also has this property. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we see that $T((\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma)$, and hence $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma$, are direct sums of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-modules. Since the tensor product is distributive over direct sums, $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma \otimes_\Bbbk V$ is a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-modules, hence so is its quotient $P^\Gamma(V)$. Thus $P^\Gamma(V) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma$. The fact that $P^\Gamma(V)$ is projective in this category is a special case of a standard result proved in [Hoc56, Lem. 2]. □

Definition 2.5 (Twisted global Weyl module $W^\Gamma(V)$)**.** Let V be an irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module. The corresponding *(twisted) global Weyl module* is the $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module

$$W^\Gamma(V) := P^\Gamma(V) / \big(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma(P^\Gamma(V)_{\not\leq [V]})\big),$$

where $[V] \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ is the isomorphism class of V. Up to isomorphism, $W^\Gamma(V)$ depends only on the isomorphism class of V. If Γ is trivial, we will often drop the superscript Γ. It follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 that, for all $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ and $V \in \tau$, we have $W^\Gamma(V) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq \tau}$.

Given a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma = \mathfrak{n}^-_\Gamma \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_\Gamma$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, we use the notation $V^\Gamma(\lambda)$ to denote the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module of highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$ and v^Γ_λ to denote a highest weight vector in this module. If Γ is trivial, we omit the Γ superscripts.

Lemma 2.6. *For any dominant integral weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$, we have*

$$W^\Gamma(\lambda) := W^\Gamma(V^\Gamma(\lambda)) = \big(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma)} V^\Gamma(\lambda)\big) / \big(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma \otimes v^\Gamma_\lambda\big).$$

We let w^Γ_λ denote the image of $1 \otimes v^\Gamma_\lambda$ in the above quotient. Then $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is generated by w^Γ_λ.

Proof. Let $V = V^\Gamma(\lambda)$ and $v = v^\Gamma_\lambda$. We need to show that

$$\mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma \otimes v = \mathcal{U}_\Gamma(P^\Gamma(V))_{\not\leq [V]}. \tag{2.4}$$

It is clear from the definition of $\mathfrak{g}^+$ that we have a weight decomposition

$$(\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma \otimes v = \bigoplus\nolimits_{\mu \in \Lambda_\Gamma,\, \mu \not\leq \lambda} \big((\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma \otimes v\big)_\mu .$$

Thus the left hand side of (2.4) is contained in the right hand side.

It remains to prove the reverse inclusion. For this, it suffices to show that

$$P^\Gamma(V)_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma \otimes v$$

for all $\tau \not\leq [V]$. Now,

$$P^\Gamma(V) = \mathcal{U}^-_\Gamma \mathcal{U}^0_\Gamma \mathcal{U}^+_\Gamma \otimes v = \big(\mathcal{U}^-_\Gamma \mathcal{U}^0_\Gamma \otimes v\big) + \big(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma \otimes v\big)$$

and the first summand is contained in $\bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} P^\Gamma(V)_\mu$. The second summand thus contains all submodules of $P^\Gamma(V)$ generated by vectors of weight μ for $\mu \not\leq \lambda$, hence it contains $P^\Gamma(V)_\tau$. □

Remark 2.7. In the case that Γ is trivial, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that Definition 2.5 agrees with the usual definition of the untwisted global Weyl module (see [CFK10, §3.3]). Furthermore, Definition 2.5 reduces to the definition of the twisted global Weyl module in [FMS, Def. 3.3] when $A = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and Γ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms. Outside of these cases, the general definition of the global Weyl module does not seem to have appeared previously in the literature.

We conclude this section by giving a presentation of the global Weyl module in terms of generators and relations.

Proposition 2.8. *The global Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is generated by the vector w^Γ_λ with defining relations*

$$(\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma w^\Gamma_\lambda = 0, \quad (h \otimes 1) w^\Gamma_\lambda = \lambda(h) w^\Gamma_\lambda, \quad (f^\Gamma_i \otimes 1)^{\lambda(h_i)+1} w^\Gamma_\lambda = 0, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}_\Gamma, \quad i \in I_\Gamma. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. That w^Γ_λ satisfies the latter two relations follows immediately from the fact that v^Γ_λ does, and for the first relation we only need to observe that the weights of $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ lie in $\lambda - Q^+_\Gamma$. To see that these are all the relations, let W be the $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module generated by

a vector w with the given relations, so that there is a surjective homomorphism of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules $\pi_1\colon W\to W^\Gamma(\lambda)$, extending the assignment $w\mapsto w_\lambda^\Gamma$. By the relations in (2.5), the vector $w\in W$ generates a $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-submodule of W isomorphic to a quotient of $V^\Gamma(\lambda)$. Thus we have a surjective homomorphism

$$\pi_2\colon P^\Gamma(V)\to W,\quad u_1\otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma)} u_2v_\lambda^\Gamma\mapsto u_1u_2w,\quad u_1\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma,\ u_2\in\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma).$$

Since the $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weights of W are bounded above by λ, it follows that $P^\Gamma(V)_{\not\le[V^\Gamma(\lambda)]}\subseteq\ker(\pi_2)$. Thus π_2 induces a map $W^\Gamma(\lambda)\to W$ inverse to π_1. □

3. The Weyl functor

In this section we extend the definition of the Weyl functor defined in [CFK10] (in the untwisted setting) to the twisted setting of equivariant map algebras. Throughout this section we fix a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma=\mathfrak{n}_\Gamma^-\oplus\mathfrak{h}_\Gamma\oplus\mathfrak{n}_\Gamma^+$.

The following lemma is a modification of the construction in [CFK10, §3.4]. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0$ given in (1.3).

Lemma 3.1. *The assignment*

$$(uw_\lambda^\Gamma)a:=uaw_\lambda^\Gamma,\quad \textit{for all } a\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0,\ u\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma,$$

defines a right action of $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0$ on $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$.

Proof. To prove that this action is well-defined, we must prove the implication

$$uw_\lambda^\Gamma=u'w_\lambda^\Gamma\implies uaw_\lambda^\Gamma=u'aw_\lambda^\Gamma$$

for all $u,u'\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma$ and $a\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0$. This is equivalent to proving that

$$(u-u')w_\lambda^\Gamma=0\implies(u-u')aw_\lambda^\Gamma=0$$

for all $u,u'\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma$ and $a\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0$. For this, it suffices to show that the vectors $aw_\lambda^\Gamma, a\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0$, satisfy the defining relations of w_λ^Γ given in Proposition 2.8. It follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{g}^0$ that $aw_\lambda^\Gamma\in W^\Gamma(\lambda)_\lambda$. Hence each such vector must lie in the $[V^\Gamma(\lambda)]$-isotypic component of $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$, and so the relation $(f_i^\Gamma\otimes 1)^{\lambda(h_i^\Gamma)+1}aw_\lambda^\Gamma=0$ must hold. Finally, $(\mathfrak{g}^+\otimes A)^\Gamma aw_\lambda^\Gamma=0$ since the weights of $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ are bounded above by λ. □

Definition 3.2 (Algebra $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$)**.** The set $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0}(w_\lambda^\Gamma)=\{u\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0\mid uw_\lambda^\Gamma=0\}$ is an ideal in the (commutative) algebra $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0$, and we define

$$\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda:=\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0/\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0}(w_\lambda^\Gamma).\tag{3.1}$$

It follows from Definition 3.2 that $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a $(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma,\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda)$-bimodule.

Lemma 3.3. *Every inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ can be extended to an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ commuting with the action of Γ.*

Proof. Let σ be an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. Then σ acts trivially on the center of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ and so it suffices to extend the restriction of σ to the semisimple part $[\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma,\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma]$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. This restriction can be written in the form $e^{\operatorname{ad}x_1}e^{\operatorname{ad}x_2}\cdots e^{\operatorname{ad}x_n}$, where $x_i\in([\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma,\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma])_{\beta_i}$, $i=1,\dots,n$, and each β_i is a (nonzero) root of $[\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma,\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma]$ (see, for example, [Hum75, Th. 27.5(e)]). Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a sum of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-modules, the action of $\operatorname{ad}x_i$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent (since it increases weights by β_i) for all $i=1,\dots,n$. Thus σ extends to an inner automorphism of

$\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, since $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, this automorphism commutes with the action of Γ on $\mathfrak{g}$. □

Proposition 3.4. *Up to isomorphism, $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ depends only the isomorphism class of $V^\Gamma(\lambda)$ and not on the choice of triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$.*

Proof. Let $D_i = (\mathfrak{n}_{D_i}^\pm, \mathfrak{h}_{D_i})$, $i = 1, 2$, be two triangular decompositions of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ and fix a finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module V. For $i = 1, 2$, let λ_i be the highest weights of V with respect to the triangular decomposition D_i and let v_i be a highest weight vector. By [Bou75, Ch. VIII, §5, no. 3, Prop. 5] there exists an inner automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ such that $\sigma(\mathfrak{h}_{D_1}) = \mathfrak{h}_{D_2}$ and σ carries the positive root spaces to the positive root spaces. By Lemma 3.3, we can extend σ to an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, also denoted σ, which commutes with the action of Γ. Thus σ induces an automorphism of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$. For a $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module (or $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module) W, let W^σ denote the module obtained from W by twisting the action by σ. That is, W^σ is equal to W as a vector space, with action given by

$$(x, w) \mapsto \sigma^{-1}(x)w, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \text{ (resp. } x \in (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma), \ w \in W^\sigma.$$

Then, in V^σ, v_1 is a highest weight vector with respect to D_2 of highest weight $\lambda_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Since σ is inner, $V^\sigma \cong V$ (see, for instance, [Bou75, Ch. VIII, §7, no. 2, Rem. 1]) and so $\lambda_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} = \lambda_2$. Thus we have an isomorphism $V^\sigma \cong V$ determined by $v_1 \mapsto v_2$. This isomorphism maps uv_1 to $\sigma(u)v_2$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma)$.

We also have an isomorphism $(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma)^\sigma \cong \mathcal{U}_\Gamma$ of $(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma))$-bimodules, where $(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma)^\sigma$ has the action twisted on both sides. This isomorphism maps u to $\sigma(u)$ for all $u \in (\mathcal{U}_\Gamma)^\sigma$. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma)^\sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma)} V^\sigma \cong \mathcal{U}_\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma)} V, \quad u \otimes v_1 \mapsto \sigma(u) \otimes v_2, \tag{3.2}$$

of left $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma$-modules.

Now, $\sigma(\mathfrak{g}_{D_1}^0) = \mathfrak{g}_{D_2}^0$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{D_i}^0$ denotes the centralizer $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}_{D_i})$ for $i = 1, 2$. It follows that $\sigma\big(\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g}_{D_1}^0 \otimes A)^\Gamma)\big) = \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g}_{D_2}^0 \otimes A)^\Gamma)$. Furthermore, the isomorphism (3.2) implies that $\sigma\big(\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g}_{D_1}^0 \otimes A)^\Gamma)}(1 \otimes v_1)\big) = \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g}_{D_2}^0 \otimes A)^\Gamma)}(1 \otimes v_2)$, completing the proof. □

Each weight space $W^\Gamma(\lambda)_\mu$, $\mu \in \Lambda_\Gamma$, is a right $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-submodule. In particular, $W^\Gamma(\lambda)_\lambda$ is a right $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-module and

$$W^\Gamma(\lambda)_\lambda \cong \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \quad \text{as right } \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-modules.}$$

Definition 3.5 (Twisted Weyl functor $\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma$)**.** For $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Gamma^+$, we define the right exact *(twisted) Weyl functor* (c.f. [CFK10, §3.4], [FMS, §4.1])

$$\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma \colon \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod} \to \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma, \quad \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M = W^\Gamma(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda} M, \quad \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma f = 1 \otimes f,$$

for $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}$, $f \in \operatorname{Mor} \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}$. That $\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma$ for all $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}$ follows from the fact that $W^\Gamma(\lambda) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma$.

Lemma 3.6. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Gamma^+$ and $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma$, we have $(\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0}(w_\lambda^\Gamma))V_\lambda = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $v' \in V_\lambda$ and let $V' = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma)v'$ be the $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-submodule of V generated by v'. Since $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma$, it follows that V' is finite-dimensional. Since v' is a highest weight

vector and V is a sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-modules, we see that $V' \cong V^\Gamma(\lambda)$. Identifying V' with $V^\Gamma(\lambda)$, we therefore have a linear map

$$\varphi\colon \mathcal{U}_\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma)} V^\Gamma(\lambda) \to V,\ u \otimes v \mapsto uv, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}_\Gamma,\ v \in V^\Gamma(\lambda),$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma \otimes v_\lambda^\Gamma \subseteq \ker \varphi.$$

Thus φ descends to a homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}\colon W^\Gamma(\lambda) \to V$ mapping w_λ^Γ to v'. Hence if $u \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0}(w_\lambda^\Gamma)$, we see that $uv' = \bar{\varphi}(uw_\lambda^\Gamma) = \bar{\varphi}(0) = 0$. □

Note that

$$\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \cong W^\Gamma(\lambda) \quad \text{as } (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma\text{-modules},$$

and

$$(\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M)_\mu = W^\Gamma(\lambda)_\mu \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda} M \quad \text{as vector spaces},$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Gamma^+$, $\mu \in \Lambda_\Gamma$ and $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}$.

Definition 3.7 (Twisted restriction functor $\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma$)**.** By Lemma 3.6, the left action of $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma^0$ on $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma$ induces a left action of $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ on V_λ. We denote the resulting $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-module by $\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma V$. For $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma}(V, V')$, the restriction $\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma \psi\colon V_\lambda \to V'_\lambda$ is a morphism of $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-modules. These maps define the *(twisted) restriction functor* $\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma\colon \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma \to \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}$. The functor $\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma$ is exact since restriction to a weight space is exact.

The following is a generalization of results which are known in the untwisted case (see [CFK10, §3.6 and Prop. 5]).

Proposition 3.8. *The functors $\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma$ have the following properties:*

(a) $\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}}$ (isomorphism of functors),
(b) $\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma$ is left adjoint to $\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma$, and
(c) the functor $\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma$ maps projective objects to projective objects.

Proof. (a) For $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}$, we have the following isomorphisms of left $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-modules:

$$\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M = (\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M)_\lambda = W^\Gamma(\lambda)_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda} M \cong \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda} M \cong M.$$

(b) We must define natural transformations

$$\epsilon\colon \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma \Rightarrow \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma}, \quad \eta\colon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma,$$

such that for each $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}$ and $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma$ we have equality of morphisms

$$\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M} \circ \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma(\eta_M), \quad \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma V} = \mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma(\epsilon_V) \circ \eta_{\mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma V}. \tag{3.3}$$

For $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}$, define

$$\eta_M\colon M \to \mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M, \quad m \mapsto w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m.$$

It is straightforward to verify that η_M is natural in M and thus the collection $\{\eta_M \mid M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}\}$ does indeed define a natural transformation $\eta\colon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\text{-mod}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma$.

For $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda}^\Gamma$, define $\epsilon_V : \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma V \to V$ as follows. First, we regard $W^\Gamma(\lambda) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma V$ as a left $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module via the action of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$ on $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$. Then it follows by Proposition 2.8

that the assignment $\epsilon_1 \colon W^\Gamma(\lambda) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V \to V$ given by $uw^\Gamma_\lambda \otimes v \mapsto uv$, $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Gamma$, is a well-defined map of left $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules. To see that this map factors through to a map $\epsilon_V : \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V \to V$ we observe that for $a \in \mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Gamma$, we have

$$\epsilon_1(uw^\Gamma_\lambda a \otimes v) = \epsilon_1(uaw^\Gamma_\lambda \otimes v) = uav = \epsilon_1(uw^\Gamma_\lambda \otimes av).$$

Again, it is straightforward to check that ϵ_V is natural in V.

Finally, we check the equalities in (3.3). For $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-mod and $m \in M$, we have

$$(\epsilon_{\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M} \circ \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda(\eta_M))(uw^\Gamma_\lambda \otimes m) = \epsilon_{\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M}(uw^\Gamma_\lambda \otimes w^\Gamma_\lambda \otimes m) = uw^\Gamma_\lambda \otimes m,$$

and for $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$, $m \in \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V$, we have

$$(\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda(\epsilon_V) \circ \eta_{\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V})(m) = \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda(\epsilon_V)(w^\Gamma_\lambda \otimes m) = m,$$

which establishes (3.3).

(c) This follows from the the fact that $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda$ is left adjoint to a right exact functor (see, for example, [HS97, Prop. II.10.2]). □

Corollary 3.9. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$, the global Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a projective object in $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.8(c) and the fact that $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma = W^\Gamma(\lambda)$. □

By Proposition 3.8(a), we have

$$\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M \cong \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M \quad \text{for all } M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma\text{-mod}. \tag{3.4}$$

The following theorem gives a homological characterization of this property. In the untwisted case (i.e. when Γ is trivial), it was proved in [CFK10, Th. 1].

Theorem 3.10. *Let $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$. Then $V \cong \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V$ if and only if, for each $U \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ with $U_\lambda = 0$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(V, U) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(V, U) = 0.$$

Proof. First, let $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ with $V \cong \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V$. Suppose there is a homomorphism of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules $\varphi \colon V \to U$, where $U \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ with $U_\lambda = 0$. By hypothesis V is generated as a $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma$-module by $\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V$, but on the other hand $\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda \varphi = 0$. Hence, $\varphi = 0$.

To establish the second condition, let P be a projective object of $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-mod equipped with a surjective homomorphism $\pi \colon P \to \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V$. Applying the right exact functor $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda$ yields a surjective homomorphism of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules

$$1 \otimes \pi : \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda P \to \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V \cong V,$$

with $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda P$ a projective module by Proposition 3.8(c). Take $K = \ker(1 \otimes \pi)$ to obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda P \to V \to 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Now, K is generated by K_λ as a $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma$-module, being a homomorphic image of $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda(\ker \pi)$, which is itself generated by its λ weight space $W^\Gamma(\lambda)_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma} (\ker \pi)$. Thus $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(K, U) = 0$, and it follows from the long exact sequence obtained by applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(-, U)$ to the sequence (3.5) that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(V, U) = 0$.

Conversely, let $V \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ satisfy the given vanishing conditions on Hom and Ext^1. Set $V' = \mathcal{U}_\Gamma V_\lambda$ and observe that $V/V' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_\lambda$ with $(V/V')_\lambda = 0$. Thus our hypothesis implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_\lambda}(V, V/V') = 0$, so that $V = V'$. We immediately see that the map $\epsilon_V \colon \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V \to V$ defined in the proof of Proposition 3.8 is surjective. Let $U = \ker(\epsilon_V)$, so that $U_\lambda = 0$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to U \to \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V \xrightarrow{\epsilon_V} V \to 0.$$

The long exact sequence obtained by applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(-, U)$ now gives $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(U, U) = 0$ and hence $U = 0$. Thus ϵ_V is an isomorphism, which completes the proof. □

4. Properties of global Weyl modules

This section marks the beginning of the second part of the current paper. For the remainder of the paper, we assume that Γ is a finite abelian group acting freely on X_{rat} and acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms. (Note that Γ is a finite abelian group and acts freely on X_{rat} in the case of (twisted) loop and multiloop algebras.) Under this additional assumption, we shall deduce in this section some further properties of global Weyl modules and of the algebra $\mathbf{A}^\Gamma_\lambda$. In particular, we will see that both are finitely generated. This generalizes the results of [FMS] (which considers the twisted loop algebra), but is new even in the case of twisted multiloop algebras.

Fix a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$. Then, since Γ acts by diagram automorphisms, we have an induced triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma = \mathfrak{n}^\Gamma_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\Gamma \oplus \mathfrak{n}^\Gamma_+$. We let R^+ (resp. Π) and R^+_Γ (resp. Π_Γ) denote the sets of positive (resp. simple) roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ respectively.

Lemma 4.1 ([Bou85a, Ch. V, §1, no. 9, Th. 2])**.** *The algebra A^Γ is finitely generated (as an algebra) and A_ξ is finitely generated as an A^Γ-module for all $\xi \in \Xi$.*

Remark 4.2. Lemma 4.1 allows us to make a simplifying assumption as follows. Let Γ' be the subgroup of Γ acting trivially on $\mathfrak{g}$ (equivalently, fixing the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$). Then $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma \cong (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A^{\Gamma'})^{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$. By Lemma 4.1, $A^{\Gamma'}$ is finitely generated. Thus, replacing A by $A^{\Gamma'}$ and Γ by Γ/Γ', we may assume without loss of generality that Γ acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}$ (equivalently, on the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$). Since Γ is abelian, this implies that Γ is either trivial or is a cyclic group of order two or three.

Assumption 4.3. For the remainder of the paper we will assume that Γ is a cyclic group generated by an automorphism σ of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\xi \in \Xi \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists a finite subset of A_ξ that generates A as an algebra.*

Proof. Since A is finitely generated, it admits a finite generating set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Taking homogeneous components of the elements in this set, we may assume that each a_i is homogeneous (i.e. belongs to A_τ for some $\tau \in \Xi$). Let $m = |\Gamma|$. By [NSa, Lem. 4.4], we have $(A_\xi)^m = A_{m\xi} = A_0$. Thus, we can write $1 = \sum_{\ell=1}^k g_{\ell,1} g_{\ell,2} \cdots g_{\ell,m}$ for some $g_{\ell,s} \in A_\xi$, $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, $s = 1, \dots, m$. Let $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cup \{g_{\ell,s} \mid \ell = 1, \dots, k,\ s = 1, \dots, m\}$.

Now, suppose $a_i \in A_\tau$ for $\tau \neq \xi$. Since Γ (hence Ξ) is a cyclic group of order two or three (see Remark 4.2), ξ generates Ξ. Thus we have $-\tau = (r-1)\xi$ for some $1 \leq r \leq m$. So $\tau + r\xi = \xi$. Now, $a_i = a_i 1 = a_i \sum_{\ell=1}^k g_{\ell,1} g_{\ell,2} \cdots g_{\ell,m}$. For $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, let $a_{i,\ell} = a_i g_{\ell,1} \cdots g_{\ell,r} \in$

A_ξ and set $S' = (S \setminus \{a_i\}) \cup \{a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,k}\}$. Then S' generates A and, compared to S, has one fewer element that does not lie in A_ξ. The result then follows by induction. □

Definition 4.5 ($\delta_{\mathbf{i}}$)**.** For $\mathbf{i} \in I_\Gamma$, define

$$\delta_{\mathbf{i}} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } A_{2n}, \\ 1, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is not of type } A_{2n} \text{ but } \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \text{ is a short root (i.e. } |\mathbf{i}| > 1), \\ |\Gamma|, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is not of type } A_{2n} \text{ and } \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \text{ is a long root (i.e. } |\mathbf{i}| = 1), \end{cases}$$

where we have identified I_Γ with the set of Γ-orbits in I and $|\mathbf{i}|$ denotes the size of the orbit $\mathbf{i}$.

Definition 4.6 (Γ_i, $\overline{e_i \otimes a}$, $\overline{f_i \otimes a}$, $\overline{h_i \otimes a}$)**.** Let $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{g}$. For $i \in I$, let $\Gamma_i = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma i = i\}$ be the isotropy subgroup of i. Then, for $a \in A^{\Gamma_i}$, let

$$\overline{e_i \otimes a} := \textstyle\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_i} \gamma(e_i \otimes a) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_i} e_{\gamma i} \otimes \gamma(a) \in (\mathfrak{n}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma.$$

We define $\overline{f_i \otimes a}$ and $\overline{h_i \otimes a}$ similarly. Note that replacing i by another element in the same Γ-orbit in I only changes the above elements by a scalar multiple.

The elements $\overline{h_i \otimes a}$, $i \in I$, $a \in A^{\Gamma_i}$, span $(\mathfrak{h} \otimes A)^\Gamma$. Now fix $\xi \in \Xi \setminus \{0\}$. Since $(A_\xi)^{|\Gamma|} = A^\Gamma$ (by [NSa, Lem. 4.4]), we see that the elements $\overline{h_i \otimes a^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in I_\Gamma$, $i \in \mathbf{i}$, $a \in A_\xi$, also span $(\mathfrak{h} \otimes A)^\Gamma$.

For b an element of any associative algebra B, we denote by $b^{(r)}$ the divided power $b^r/r!$.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in A_\xi$ for $\xi \in \Xi \setminus \{0\}$, and $\mathbf{i} \in I_\Gamma$. Let $i \in \mathbf{i}$. Then there exist $q(i,a)_s \in \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h} \otimes A)^\Gamma)$, $s = 1, \dots, \ell$, such that the following statements hold.*

(a) We have $q(i,a)_0 = 1$ and $q(i,a)_s \in \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Bbbk[a]_s)^\Gamma)$, where

$$\Bbbk[a]_s := \{\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^s c_i a^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}} i} \mid c_1, \dots, c_s \in \Bbbk\} \subseteq A.$$

(b) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n}, or if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n} and $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a long root, then

$$(\overline{e_i \otimes a^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}}})^{(\ell)} (\overline{f_i \otimes 1})^{(\ell+1)} + (-1)^{\ell+1} \textstyle\sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (\overline{f_i \otimes a^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}(\ell-s)}}) q(i,a)_s \in \mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma. \tag{4.1}$$

(c) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n} and $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \in R_\Gamma^+$ is the simple short root of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, then

$$(\sqrt{2}\, \overline{e_i \otimes 1})^{(2\ell+1)} (y_i \otimes a)^{(\ell+1)} + \textstyle\sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (\sqrt{2}\, \overline{f_i \otimes a^{\ell-s+1}}) q(i,a)_s \in \mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}^+ \otimes A)^\Gamma, \tag{4.2}$$

where $y_i = -[f_i, f_{i+1}] \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and the subscript 1 here denotes the nonzero element of Ξ.

Proof. The homomorphism of $\Bbbk$-algebras $\Bbbk[t] \to A$ given by $t \mapsto a$ extends to a homomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Bbbk[t] \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes A$. Applying this latter map to the formulas found in [CFS08, Lem. 3.3] proves the lemma. Note that [CFS08, Lem. 3.3(iii)(a)] is incorrect. To obtain the correct statement, one should replace $t^{mk+\epsilon}$ in the power series $\mathbf{x}_n^-(u)$ in that reference by $t^{mk+\epsilon+1}$. □

Theorem 4.8. *For all $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Gamma^+$, $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ is a finitely generated algebra.*

Proof. If the action of Γ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is trivial, then $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma \cong \mathfrak{g}\otimes A^\Gamma$ and the theorem follows from [CFK10, Th. 2(i)]. Thus we assume that Γ acts nontrivially on $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix $\xi\in\Xi\setminus\{0\}$, let $\{a_1,\dots,a_N\}\subseteq A_\xi$ be generators of A as an algebra (see Lemma 4.4) and fix a generator a_k with $1\le k\le N$.

First, suppose either that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n} and $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$ is any simple root of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, or that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n} and $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a long root of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ (i.e. $\mathbf{i}\neq\{n,n+1\}$ when we identify I_Γ with Γ-orbits in I). For any homogeneous $a\in A^{\Gamma_i}$, multiplying both sides of (4.1) by $\overline{e_i\otimes a}$ on the left gives the following identity in $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma$:

$$(\overline{e_i\otimes a})(\overline{e_i\otimes a_k^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}}})^{(\ell)}(\overline{f_i\otimes 1})^{(\ell+1)}+(-1)^{\ell+1}\textstyle\sum_{s=0}^{\ell}(\overline{h_i\otimes aa_k^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}(\ell-s)}})q(i,a_k)_s\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}^+\otimes A)^\Gamma.$$

Now suppose that $\ell\ge\lambda(h_{\mathbf{i}})$. In this case, we have $(\overline{f_i\otimes 1})^{\ell+1}w_\lambda^\Gamma=0$, and thus

$$(\overline{h_i\otimes aa_k^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}\ell}})w_\lambda^\Gamma=-\left(\textstyle\sum_{s=1}^{\ell}(\overline{h_i\otimes aa_k^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}(\ell-s)}})q(i,a_k)_s\right)w_\lambda^\Gamma.$$

Iterating this argument, it follows that for all $s_1,\dots,s_n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$(\overline{h_i\otimes a_1^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}s_1}\cdots a_N^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}s_N}})w_\lambda^\Gamma=H(i,s_1,\dots,s_N)w_\lambda^\Gamma, \tag{4.3}$$

where $H(i,s_1,\dots,s_N)$ is a linear combination of finite products of elements of $\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma)$ of the form $(\overline{h_i\otimes a_1^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}\ell_1}\cdots a_N^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}\ell_n}})$ with $0\le\ell_1,\dots,\ell_N<\lambda(h_{\mathbf{i}})$. Therefore, the images of the vectors

$$\{(\overline{h_i\otimes a_1^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}\ell_1}\cdots a_N^{\delta_{\mathbf{i}}\ell_N}})\ :\ 0\le\ell_1,\dots,\ell_N<\lambda(h_{\mathbf{i}})\}$$

generate $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be now of type A_{2n} and suppose that $\mathbf{i}$ corresponds to the orbit $\{n,n+1\}$, that is, $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the simple short root of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. Since the generators $a_1,\dots,a_N$ lie in A_1 (where 1 denotes the nontrivial character of Γ), it follows that $y_i\otimes a_k\in(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$. Let $a\in A$. Multiplying both sides of (4.2) by $\sqrt{2}\,\overline{e_i\otimes a}$ on the left gives

$$2^{\ell+1}(\overline{e_i\otimes a})(\overline{e_i\otimes 1})^{(2\ell+1)}(y_i\otimes a_k)^{(\ell+1)}+\textstyle\sum_{s=0}^{\ell}2(\overline{h_i\otimes aa_k^{\ell-s+1}})q(i,a_k)_s\in\mathcal{U}_\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}^+\otimes A)^\Gamma.$$

Now, we claim that for all $\ell\ge r_i:=\frac{1}{2}\lambda(h_{\mathbf{i}})$, we have $(y_i\otimes a_k)^{\ell+1}w_\lambda^\Gamma=0$, which implies that

$$(\overline{h_i\otimes aa_k^{\ell+1}})w_\lambda^\Gamma=-\textstyle\sum_{s=1}^{\ell}(\overline{h_i\otimes aa_k^{\ell-s+1}})q(n,a_k)_s w_\lambda^\Gamma.$$

Iterating this, we arrive again at (4.3) (with the upper bound $\lambda(h_{\mathbf{i}})$ on ℓ_j, $1\le j\le N$, replaced by r_i+1), and the result follows.

It remains prove the claim. A straightforward calculation shows that $[h,y_i]=-2\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}(h)y_i$ for all $h\in\mathfrak{h}$. Thus, $(y_i\otimes a_k)^{r_i+1}w_\lambda^\Gamma$ has weight $\lambda-(\lambda(h_{\mathbf{i}})+2)\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$, and so it suffices to show that $\lambda-(\lambda(h_{\mathbf{i}})+2)\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$ is not a weight of $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$. Since $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-modules, its weights (which all lie below λ) are invariant under the action of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. But $s_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda-(\lambda(h_{\mathbf{i}})+2)\alpha_{\mathbf{i}})=\lambda+2\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$ does not lie below λ, concluding the proof. □

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose $r\in\mathbb{N}$, $a\in A^\Gamma$, $\alpha\in R_\Gamma^+$, and $\{x_\alpha^-,x_\alpha^+,h_\alpha\}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ corresponding to α. Then*

$$(x_\alpha^+\otimes a)^r(x_\alpha^-\otimes 1)^{r+1}-\textstyle\sum_{s=0}^{r}(x_\alpha^-\otimes a^{r-s})p(a,\alpha)_s\in\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma\otimes A^\Gamma)(\mathfrak{n}_+^\Gamma\otimes A^\Gamma),$$

for some $p(a,\alpha)_s\in\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma\otimes A^\Gamma)\subseteq\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma)$ with $p(a,\alpha)_0=1$.

Proof. This follows from [CFK10, Lem. 5] (see also [Gar78, Lem. 7.5]), where we replace the $\mathfrak{g}$ and A there by $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ and A^Γ respectively. □

Theorem 4.10. *For all $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Gamma^+$, the global Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a finitely generated right $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-module.*

Proof. If the action of Γ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is trivial, then $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma \cong \mathfrak{g}\otimes A^\Gamma$ and the theorem follows from [CFK10, Th. 2(i)]. Thus we assume that Γ acts nontrivially on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\{a_1,\dots,a_s\}$ be a finite set of generators of A^Γ (see Lemma 4.1). By Proposition 2.8, we have $(\mathfrak{n}_+\otimes A)^\Gamma w_\lambda^\Gamma = 0$, where w_λ^Γ is a generator of $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$. We also have $\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma)w_\lambda^\Gamma = w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$. Then the PBW theorem implies that

$$W^\Gamma(\lambda) = \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}^-\otimes A)^\Gamma)w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda.$$

For a positive root $\alpha\in R_\Gamma^+$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, let $\{x_\alpha^+, x_\alpha^-, h_\alpha\}\subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ be a corresponding $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple.

Claim 1: For all $\alpha\in R_\Gamma^+$, we have

$$(x_\alpha^-\otimes A^\Gamma)w_\lambda^\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Span}\{(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_1^{\ell_1}\cdots a_s^{\ell_s})w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0\le \ell_1,\dots,\ell_s<\lambda(h_\alpha)\}.$$

Proof of Claim 1: Let $r\ge\lambda(h_\alpha)$ and $1\le i\le s$. By Lemma 4.9 we have

$$\textstyle\sum_{s=0}^r (x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^{r-s})p(a_i,\alpha)_s w_\lambda^\Gamma = (x_\alpha^+\otimes a_i)^r(x_\alpha^-\otimes 1)^{r+1}w_\lambda^\Gamma = 0.$$

Thus

$$(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^r)w_\lambda^\Gamma \in \mathrm{Span}\{(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^s)w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0\le s<r\}.$$

We then have, by induction, that

$$(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^r)w_\lambda^\Gamma \in \mathrm{Span}\{(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^\ell)w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0\le\ell<\lambda(h_\alpha)\} \quad \text{for all } r\ge\lambda(h_\alpha). \tag{4.4}$$

Now, for $1\le i,j\le s$ and $m_i,m_j\in\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(h_\alpha\otimes a_j^{m_j})(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^{m_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma &= \big(-2x_\alpha^-\otimes a_j^{m_j}a_i^{m_i} + (x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^{m_i})(h_\alpha\otimes a_j^{m_j})\big)w_\lambda^\Gamma\\ &\in -2(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_j^{m_j}a_i^{m_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma + (x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^{m_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_j^{m_j}a_i^{m_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma &\in \tfrac{-1}{2}(h_\alpha\otimes a_j^{m_j})(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^{m_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma + (x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^{m_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda\\ &\in \mathrm{Span}\{(h_\alpha\otimes a_j^{m_j})(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^\ell)w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda, (x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^\ell)w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0\le\ell<\lambda(h_\alpha)\}\end{aligned}$$

by (4.4). Then, using (4.5) with $m_i=\ell$, we have

$$(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_j^{m_j}a_i^{m_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma \in \mathrm{Span}\{(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_j^{m_j}a_i^\ell)w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda, (x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^\ell)w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0\le\ell<\lambda(h_\alpha)\}. \tag{4.6}$$

Replacing j,i,m_j,m_i by i,j,ℓ,m_j (respectively) in (4.6), we have

$$(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^\ell a_j^{m_j})w_\lambda^\Gamma \in \mathrm{Span}\{(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_i^\ell a_j^{\ell_j})w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda, (x_\alpha^-\otimes a_j^{\ell_j})w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0\le\ell_j<h(h_\alpha)\}.$$

Thus

$$(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_j^{m_j}a_i^{m_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma \in \mathrm{Span}\{(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_j^{\ell_j}a_i^{\ell_i})w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0\le\ell_i,\ell_j<\lambda(h_\alpha)\}.$$

Repeating the above argument gives

$$(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_1^{m_1}\cdots a_s^{m_s})w_\lambda^\Gamma \in \mathrm{Span}\{(x_\alpha^-\otimes a_1^{\ell_1}\cdots a_s^{\ell_s})w_\lambda^\Gamma\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0\le\ell_i<\lambda(h_\alpha)\ \forall\, i\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Since $\{a_1^{m_1}\cdots a_s^{m_s} \mid m_i\ge 0\}$ is a spanning set of A^Γ, Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2: As a right $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-module, $(\mathfrak{n}'\otimes A)^\Gamma w_\lambda^\Gamma$ is finitely generated, where $\mathfrak{n}' := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Pi}\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$.

Proof of Claim 2: Let m be the order of the generator σ of Γ. This generator σ induces a permutation of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. For a simple root $\beta \in \Pi$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\{y_\beta^+, y_\beta^-, h_\beta\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ a corresponding $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. A basis of $(\mathfrak{n}')^\Gamma$ is given by the set

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \{y_\beta^- \mid \beta \in \Pi,\ \sigma(\beta) = \beta\} \cup \left\{\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{\sigma^j(\beta)}^- \mid \beta \in \Pi,\ \sigma(\beta) \neq \beta\right\}.$$

If $m = 2$, we have a basis $\mathcal{B}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{B}_1$ of $\mathfrak{n}'$ where

$$\mathcal{B}_1 := \{y_\beta^- - y_{\sigma(\beta)}^- \mid \beta \in \Pi,\ \sigma(\beta) \neq \beta\}$$

consists of σ-eigenvectors of eigenvalue -1. If $m = 3$ we have a basis $\mathcal{B}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{B}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{B}_2$ of $\mathfrak{n}'$ where

$$\mathcal{B}_1 := \{y_\beta^- + \eta y_{\sigma(\beta)}^- + \eta^2 y_{\sigma^2(\beta)}^- \mid \beta \in \Pi,\ \sigma(\beta) \neq \beta\},$$
$$\mathcal{B}_2 := \{y_\beta^- + \eta^2 y_{\sigma(\beta)}^- + \eta y_{\sigma^2(\beta)}^- \mid \beta \in \Pi,\ \sigma(\beta) \neq \beta\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{B}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, consists of σ-eigenvectors of eigenvalue η^i, where η is a primitive third root of unity.

For each $\alpha \in \Pi_\Gamma$, after multiplying by a scalar if necessary, we have $x_\alpha^- = y_{\beta_\alpha}^-$ (or $x_\alpha^- = \sqrt{\kappa_\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{\sigma^j(\beta_\alpha)}^-$) and $h_\alpha = h_{\beta_\alpha}$ (resp. $h_\alpha = \kappa_\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} h_{\sigma^j(\beta_\alpha)}$) for some $\beta_\alpha \in R^+$, where $\kappa_\alpha = 2$ if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n} and α is the simple short root of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, otherwise $\kappa_\alpha = 1$. In fact, the Γ-orbit of β_α is uniquely determined by the condition $\beta_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma} = \alpha$.

For the remainder of the proof, we restrict our attention to the case $m = 2$. The case $m = 3$ is similar and will be omitted. We have

$$(\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma = (\mathfrak{n}_0' \otimes A_0) \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_1' \otimes A_1), \tag{4.8}$$

where the subscript 1 denotes the nontrivial character of Γ. Furthermore, $\mathcal{B}_1$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{n}_1'$. By Lemma 4.1, we know that A_1 is a finitely generated A^Γ-module. Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ be a finite set of generators of this module. Now choose $\alpha \in \Pi_\Gamma$ such that $\sigma(\beta_\alpha) \neq \beta_\alpha$ and set $\beta = \beta_\alpha$. Then

$$\{(y_\beta^- - y_{\sigma(\beta)}^-) \otimes a_1^{m_1} \cdots a_s^{m_s} b_i \mid m_j \geq 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq k\} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_1' \otimes A_1 \subseteq (\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma.$$

Furthermore, $(h_\beta - h_{\sigma(\beta)}) \otimes b_i \in (\mathfrak{h} \otimes A)^\Gamma$, and so $((h_\beta - h_{\sigma(\beta)}) \otimes b_i) w_\lambda^\Gamma \in w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$. Now,

$$[h_\beta - h_{\sigma(\beta)}, x_\alpha^-] = [h_\beta - h_{\sigma(\beta)}, y_\beta^- + y_{\sigma(\beta)}^-] = -(\kappa_\alpha + 1)(y_\beta^- - y_{\sigma(\beta)}^-). \tag{4.9}$$

This implies, for all $m_j \geq 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(\kappa_\alpha + 1)((y_\beta^- - y_{\sigma(\beta)}^-) \otimes a_1^{m_1} \cdots a_s^{m_s} b_i) w_\lambda^\Gamma \\
&\quad = ((h_\beta - h_{\sigma(\beta)}) \otimes b_i)(x_\alpha^- \otimes a_1^{m_1} \cdots a_s^{m_s}) w_\lambda^\Gamma - (x_\alpha^- \otimes a_1^{m_1} \cdots a_s^{m_s})((h_\beta - h_{\sigma(\beta)}) \otimes b_i) w_\lambda^\Gamma \\
&\quad \in ((h_\beta - h_{\sigma(\beta)}) \otimes b_i)(x_\alpha^- \otimes a_1^{m_1} \cdots a_s^{m_s}) w_\lambda^\Gamma - (x_\alpha^- \otimes a_1^{m_1} \cdots a_s^{m_s}) w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \\
&\quad \subseteq (h_\beta - h_{\sigma(\beta)}) \otimes b_i) \operatorname{Span}\{(x_\alpha^- \otimes a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s}) w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0 \leq \ell_i < \lambda(h_\alpha)\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \operatorname{Span}\{(x_\alpha^- \otimes a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s}) w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0 \leq \ell_i < \lambda(h_\alpha)\ \forall\, i\} \quad \text{(by (4.7))} \\
&\quad \subseteq \operatorname{Span}\{((y_\beta^- - y_{\sigma(\beta)}^-) \otimes a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s} b_i) w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda, (x_\alpha^- \otimes a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s}) w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0 \leq \ell_i < \lambda(h_\alpha)\ \forall\, i\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last containment follows from (4.9). Since $\kappa_\alpha + 1 \neq 0$ and A_1 is spanned by elements of the form $a_1^{m_1} \cdots a_s^{m_s} b_i$, we see that $((y_\beta^- - y_{\sigma(\beta)}^-) \otimes A_1) w_\lambda^\Gamma$ is contained in

$$\operatorname{Span}\{((y_\beta^- - y_{\sigma(\beta)}^-) \otimes a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s} b_i) w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda, (x_\alpha^- \otimes a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s}) w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \mid 0 \leq \ell_j < \lambda(h_\alpha),\ 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Claim 2 now follows from (4.8) and the above arguments.

Completion of the proof of Theorem 4.10: We continue to assume that $m = 2$, the case $m = 3$ being similar. Define

$$\mathcal{D}_0 := \{z \otimes a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s} \mid z \in \mathcal{B}_0,\ 0 \le \ell_j < \lambda(h_\alpha)\ \forall\ j\} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \{z \otimes a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s} b_i \mid z \in \mathcal{B}_1,\ 0 \le \ell_j < \lambda(h_\alpha)\ \forall\ j,\ 1 \le i \le k\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \cup \mathcal{D}_1$. We claim that $\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma)_n w_\lambda^\Gamma \subseteq \sum_{\ell=0}^n \mathcal{D}^\ell w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. The result is true for $n = 1$ by the above. Assume it is true for some $n \ge 1$. Let $u \in (\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma$ and $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma)_n$. Then, by assumption, we have $\tilde{u} w_\lambda^\Gamma \in u' w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ for some $u' \in \sum_{\ell=0}^n \mathcal{D}^\ell$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u\tilde{u}w_\lambda^\Gamma \in uu'w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda &= ([u,u']w_\lambda^\Gamma + u'uw_\lambda^\Gamma)\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma)_n w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda + u'(\mathcal{D}w_\lambda^\Gamma)\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \subseteq \textstyle\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{D}^\ell w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus our claim holds by induction.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma, (\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma] &= [\mathfrak{n}_0' \otimes A_0 + \mathfrak{n}_1' \otimes A_1, \mathfrak{n}_0' \otimes A_0 + \mathfrak{n}_1' \otimes A_1] \\ &= \big(([\mathfrak{n}_0', \mathfrak{n}_0'] + [\mathfrak{n}_1', \mathfrak{n}_1']) \otimes A_0\big) \oplus \big([\mathfrak{n}_0', \mathfrak{n}_1'] \otimes A_1\big) \\ &= ([\mathfrak{n}', \mathfrak{n}'] \otimes A)^\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the second equality, we have used that $A_1^2 = A_0$ by [NSa, Lem. 4.4]. Since $\mathfrak{n}'$ generates $\mathfrak{n}_-$, an easy inductive argument then shows that $\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}_- \otimes A)^\Gamma)_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A)^\Gamma)_N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Thus, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have

$$\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}_- \otimes A)^\Gamma)_n w_\lambda^\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A))_{Nn} w_\lambda^\Gamma \subseteq \textstyle\sum_{\ell=0}^{Nn} \mathcal{D}^\ell w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda.$$

Now, all $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weights of $\mathfrak{n}_- \otimes A$ are nonzero (this follows from the fact that $\mathcal{D}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{n}' \otimes A$ and all of its elements have nonzero $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weight) and the set of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weights occurring in $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is finite. Thus, there exists an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}_- \otimes A)^\Gamma)_n w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda = W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ for all $n \ge M$. Therefore $\sum_{\ell=1}^{NM} \mathcal{D}^\ell w_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda = W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ and so $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is finitely generated as an $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-module. □

Theorems 4.8 and 4.10 are generalizations of [CFK10, Th. 2(i)], which gives the result in the untwisted setting (i.e. when Γ is trivial).

We have the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 4.11. *If M is a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$-module, then $\mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma M$ is finite-dimensional.*

It is straightforward to show that if $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma$ and, for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Gamma^+$, we have $\dim V_\lambda = 1$, $\operatorname{wt} V \subseteq \lambda - Q_\Gamma^+$ and $\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma)V_\lambda = V$, then V has a unique irreducible quotient.

The following is a generalization of [CFK10, Prop. 8] and a refinement of Theorem 3.10.

Corollary 4.12. *Let $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\le\lambda}^\Gamma$ with $\dim V_\lambda < \infty$. Then $V \cong \mathbf{W}_\lambda^\Gamma \mathbf{R}_\lambda^\Gamma V$ if and only if*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\le\lambda}^\Gamma}(V, U) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{I}_{\le\lambda}^\Gamma}(V, U) = 0 \tag{4.10}$$

for all irreducible finite-dimensional $U \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\le\tau}^\Gamma$ with $U_\lambda = 0$.

Proof. The forward implication holds by Theorem 3.10. To prove the reverse implication, assume $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ satisfies (4.10) for all irreducible finite-dimensional $U \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ with $U_\lambda = 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.10, we see that $V = \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma)V_\lambda$. The map ϵ_V of the proof of Proposition 3.8 is surjective since V is generated by its highest weight space. Thus we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda V_\lambda \xrightarrow{\epsilon_V} V \to 0. \tag{4.11}$$

By Corollary 4.11, $\dim \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda V_\lambda < \infty$ and so $\dim K < \infty$ and $K_\lambda = 0$. If $K \neq 0$, then there exists some irreducible finite-dimensional $U \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ with $U_\lambda = 0$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma}(K, U) \neq 0$. A straightforward argument using the long exact sequence obtained from (4.11) by applying the contravariant left exact functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma}(-, U)$ then yields a contradiction. Thus $K = 0$ and hence $V \cong \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda V_\lambda = \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V$. □

Definition 4.13 (Map $\mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda$)**.** Since $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ is a finitely generated commutative algebra, any irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-module M has dimension one. For such an $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-module M, we let $\mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda M$ denote the unique irreducible quotient of $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M$, which is finite-dimensional by Corollary 4.11. This defines a map $\mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda$ from the set of irreducible $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-modules to the set of irreducible finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules.

Recall the definition of $V(\psi)$ and $V^\Gamma(\psi)$ from Definition 1.6.

Definition 4.14 (Modules $M(\psi)$ and $M^\Gamma(\psi)$)**.** For $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda'}$ (resp. $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$), define $M(\psi) := \mathbf{R}_{\lambda'} V(\psi)$ (resp. $M^\Gamma(\psi) := \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V^\Gamma(\psi)$).

Proposition 4.15. *(a) The global Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is the zero module, and hence the algebra $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ is the zero algebra, if $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$ is not the restriction of some element of Λ^+.*
(b) For all $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$, we have $V^\Gamma(\psi) \cong \mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda M^\Gamma(\psi)$.
(c) For all $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$, the maps $\mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda$ and $\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda$ induce mutually inverse bijections between the set of irreducible finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules whose highest weight as a $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module is λ and the set of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-modules.
(d) The map $\psi \mapsto [M^\Gamma(\psi)]$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$ to the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-modules.

Proof. (a) Suppose $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$. The irreducible (one-dimensional) $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-modules are of the form $M = \mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma/\mathsf{m}$ for some maximal ideal m of $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$. By Nakayama's Lemma, $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M = W^\Gamma(\lambda)/\mathsf{m}W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is zero if and only if the global Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is zero. By Corollary 4.11, $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M$ is finite-dimensional. If it is nonzero, it has some nonzero irreducible finite-dimensional quotient V whose highest $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ weight is also λ. By [NSS12, Th. 5.5], V is a tensor product of evaluation representations (corresponding to representations of $\mathfrak{g}$). Thus, its highest weight must be a restriction of a weight of $\mathfrak{g}$.

(b) As in the proof of Proposition 3.8, we have a (nonzero) surjective map

$$\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M^\Gamma(\psi) = \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V^\Gamma(\psi) \twoheadrightarrow V^\Gamma(\psi).$$

Thus $V^\Gamma(\psi)$ must be isomorphic to the unique irreducible quotient $\mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda M^\Gamma(\psi)$ of $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M^\Gamma(\psi)$.

(c) Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$. By [NSS12, Th. 5.5], every irreducible finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$-module with highest weight λ is of the form $V^\Gamma(\psi)$ for some $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$. Thus, by part (b), we have that $\mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda$ is the identity map on the set of such modules. Now, for an irreducible $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-module

M, we have that

$$\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda M = (\mathbf{V}^\Gamma_\lambda M)_\lambda = (\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M)_\lambda = \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M = M.$$

(d) By [NSS12, Th. 5.5], the map $\psi \mapsto V^\Gamma(\psi)$ is bijection from $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$ to the set of irreducible finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules with highest weight λ. Then the result follows from part (c). □

Remark 4.16. The condition in Proposition 4.15(a) that λ be the restriction of a $\mathfrak{g}$ weight is only relevant in the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n} (and Γ acts nontrivially on $\mathfrak{g}$). In this case, the restriction condition amounts to requiring that when λ is written as a sum of fundamental weights, its coefficient for the fundamental weight corresponding to the short root be even. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n}, then the restriction map $\Lambda^+ \to \Lambda^+_\Gamma$ is surjective (see Lemma 5.6(a)).

The proof of the following theorem will be given at the end of Section 6. Part (b) was first proved in [FMS, Th. 6.5]. However, we will provide some details omitted there.

Theorem 4.17. *Suppose $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$ and assume that Γ is abelian, acting freely on X_{rat} and acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms.*

(a) If A is the coordinate algebra of a smooth complex algebraic variety and λ is a fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, then the global Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a projective $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-module. If, in addition, $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ is a generalized Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}, s_1, \dots, s_m]$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the global Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a free $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-module.

(b) If $A = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$, then $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a free $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-module for all $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$, and its rank is equal to the dimension of any local Weyl module.

Remark 4.18. The condition that $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ is a generalized Laurent polynomial ring can be verified in specific cases using the explicit realization of $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ given in Section 7 (see Theorem 7.5).

5. Twisting functors

In this section we recall the twisting functors introduced in [FKKS12] and prove some facts related to them that will be used in the sequel. We continue to assume that Γ is cyclic, acts freely on X_{rat}, and acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms (see Remark 4.2).

We define the *support* of an ideal J of A to be

$$\operatorname{Supp} J := \{\mathsf{m} \in \operatorname{maxSpec} A \mid J \subseteq \mathsf{m}\} \cong \operatorname{maxSpec}(A/J).$$

Note that the support of an ideal is often defined to be the set of prime (rather than maximal) ideals containing it. So our definition is more restrictive. When we refer to the *codimension* of an ideal of an algebra, we mean its codimension as a $\Bbbk$-vector space (and not, for instance, some geometric codimension).

Lemma 5.1. *All ideals of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$ are of the form $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes J)^\Gamma = \bigoplus_{\xi \in \Xi} \mathfrak{g}_\xi \otimes J_{-\xi}$, where $J = \bigoplus_{\xi \in \Xi} J_\xi$ is a Γ-invariant ideal of A.*

Proof. This is proved in [Sav, Prop. 7.1] in the more general setting of Lie superalgebras. □

Definition 5.2 (Support)**.** It follows from Lemma 5.1 that the annihilator of any $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module V is of the form $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes J)^\Gamma$ for a unique Γ-invariant ideal J of A. We denote this ideal J by $\operatorname{Ann}^\Gamma_A V$. Thus

$$\operatorname{Ann}^\Gamma_A V := \langle f \in A \mid uV = 0 \text{ for all } u \in (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma \cap (\mathfrak{g} \otimes f) \rangle.$$

We define the *support* of V to be

$$\operatorname{Supp}_A^\Gamma V := \operatorname{Supp} \operatorname{Ann}_A^\Gamma V.$$

When the group Γ is trivial, we will often omit the superscript Γ.

Let X_* denote the set of finite subsets of X_{rat} that do not contain two points in the same Γ-orbit.

Definition 5.3 (Categories $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^\Gamma$)**.** For $\mathbf{x} \in X_*$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}$ denote the full subcategory of the category of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$-modules whose objects are finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$-modules V with $\operatorname{Supp}_A V \subseteq \mathbf{x}$. Similarly, let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^\Gamma$ be the full subcategory of the category of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules whose objects are finite-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules V with $\operatorname{Supp}_A^\Gamma V \subseteq \Gamma\cdot\mathbf{x}$.

If V is a finitely supported $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$-module and V^Γ denotes the corresponding $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$-module obtained by restriction, then it is clear that $\operatorname{Supp}_A^\Gamma V^\Gamma = \Gamma\cdot\operatorname{Supp}_A V$.

Definition 5.4 (Twisting functors $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$ ([FKKS12, Def. 2.8]))**.** We have a natural *twisting functor* $\mathbf{T}$ from the category of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$-modules to the category of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$-modules, defined by restriction. For any $\mathbf{x}\in X_*$, we have the induced functor $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}\colon \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^\Gamma$.

Proposition 5.5 ([FKKS12, Th. 2.10])**.** *For $\mathbf{x}\in X_*$, the functor $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}\colon \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^\Gamma$ is an isomorphism of categories. Furthermore, for $\psi\in\mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ with $\mathbf{x}\in(\operatorname{Supp}\psi)_\Gamma$, we have $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(V(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})) = V^\Gamma(\psi)$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from [FKKS12, Th. 2.10] after the straightforward verification that $(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})^\Gamma = \psi$ in the notation of that theorem. □

Let ω_i be the fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to $i\in I$. So we have $\Lambda^+ = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{N}\omega_i$. Recall that the set of nodes I_Γ of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ can be naturally identified with the set of Γ-orbits in I (and we will equate the two in what follows). For $\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma$, we define

$$e_{\mathbf{i}} := \sqrt{\kappa_{\mathbf{i}}}\sum_{i\in\mathbf{i}} e_i, \quad f_{\mathbf{i}} := \sqrt{\kappa_{\mathbf{i}}}\sum_{i\in\mathbf{i}} f_i, \quad h_{\mathbf{i}} := \kappa_{\mathbf{i}}\sum_{i\in\mathbf{i}} h_i, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\kappa_{\mathbf{i}} = 2$ if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n}, Γ acts nontrivially on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathbf{i}$ corresponds to the short root of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ (which is of type B_n). Otherwise, $\kappa_{\mathbf{i}} = 1$. Then $\{e_{\mathbf{i}}, f_{\mathbf{i}}, h_{\mathbf{i}}\}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple for each $\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma$ and these triples generate $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. We refer the reader to [Kac90, §8.3] for details.

We let $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{i}}$ denote the simple root and fundamental weight, respectively, of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ corresponding to $\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma$. Thus

$$\Lambda_\Gamma = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma}\mathbb{Z}\omega_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad \Lambda_\Gamma^+ = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma}\mathbb{N}\omega_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad Q_\Gamma = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad Q_\Gamma^+ = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma}\mathbb{N}\alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{5.2}$$

are the integral weight lattice, dominant integral weight lattice, root lattice, and positive root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ respectively.

We conclude this section with a lemma collecting some technical results that will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose Γ acts nontrivially on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms.*

(a) For all $i\in I$, we have $\alpha_i|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma} = \alpha_{\Gamma i}$ and $\omega_i|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma} = \kappa_{\Gamma i}\omega_{\Gamma i}$.
(b) For all $\psi\in\mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{x}\in(\operatorname{Supp}\psi)_\Gamma$, we have $\operatorname{ht}_\Gamma\psi = \operatorname{ht}\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$.
(c) Let $\lambda'\in\Lambda^+$ and set $\lambda := \lambda'|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma}$. Then, for $V\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{I}_{\le\lambda'}$, we have $\mathbf{T}(V)\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{I}_{\le\lambda}^\Gamma$ and $V_{\lambda'} = \mathbf{T}(V)_\lambda$ as vector spaces.

Proof. (a) This is a straightforward computation and will be omitted.

(b) Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ and choose $\mathbf{x} \in (\operatorname{Supp}\psi)_\Gamma$. Then

$$\operatorname{ht}_\Gamma \psi = \operatorname{ht}_\Gamma \left((\operatorname{wt}\psi_{\mathbf{x}})|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma}\right) = \operatorname{ht}\operatorname{wt}\psi_{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{ht}\psi_{\mathbf{x}},$$

where the second equality follows from part (a).

(c) Let $V \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda'}$. Then the $\mathfrak{g}$-weights of V lie in $\lambda' - Q^+$, where Q^+ is the positive root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. By part (a), the $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weights of $\mathbf{T}(V)$ lie in $\lambda - Q^+_\Gamma$ and so $\mathbf{T}(V) \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$. The second part of the statement follows easily. □

6. Local Weyl modules

In this section we define local Weyl modules and prove some of their important properties. We continue to assume that Γ is cyclic, acts freely on X_{rat} and acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms. We will also assume that the action of Γ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is nontrivial, since the case of trivial action has been covered in [CFK10]. Recall the definition of the $M(\psi)$ and $M^\Gamma(\psi)$ from Definition 4.14.

Definition 6.1 (Local Weyl modules $W(\psi)$ and $W^\Gamma(\psi)$)**.** Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$ (resp. $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$) and set $\lambda' = \operatorname{wt}\psi$ (resp. $\lambda = \operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi$). The corresponding *untwisted* (resp. *twisted*) *local Weyl module* is $W(\psi) := \mathbf{W}_{\lambda'} M(\psi)$ (resp. $W^\Gamma(\psi) := \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M^\Gamma(\psi)$).

Lemma 6.2. *If $J = \bigoplus_{\xi\in\Xi} J_\xi$ is a Γ-invariant ideal of A, then $A_\tau J_\xi = J_{\tau+\xi}$ for all $\tau, \xi \in \Xi$.*

Proof. Fix $\tau, \xi \in \Xi$. Since J is an ideal, we have $A_\tau J_\xi \subseteq J_{\tau+\xi}$. Now choose $a \in J_{\tau+\xi}$. By [NSa, Lem. 4.4], we have $A_\tau A_{-\tau} = A_0$. Thus $J_{\tau+\xi} = A_0 J_{\tau+\xi} = A_\tau A_{-\tau} J_{\tau+\xi} \subseteq A_\tau J_\xi$. □

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose J_0 is an ideal of $A_0 = A^\Gamma$. Then the ideal of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes J_0$ is $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes J)^\Gamma$, where $J = \bigoplus_{\xi\in\Xi} A_\xi J_0$ is the ideal of A generated by J_0.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, the ideal of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes J_0$ is of the form $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes J')^\Gamma = \bigoplus_{\xi\in\Xi} \mathfrak{g}_\xi \otimes J'_{-\xi}$ for some Γ-invariant ideal J' of A. Clearly we have $J_0 \subseteq J'_0$ and so $J \subseteq J'$. By Lemma 6.2, $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes J)^\Gamma$ is an ideal of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$ containing $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes J_0$, and so we must have $J' \subseteq J$. □

For $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$, define

$$J(\psi) := \textstyle\prod_{\mathsf{m}\in\operatorname{Supp}\psi} \mathsf{m}. \tag{6.1}$$

If $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$, then $J(\psi)$ is clearly Γ-invariant. Recall the definition of Y_Γ for a Γ-invariant subset $Y \subseteq X_{\mathrm{rat}}$ given in Definition 1.5.

Proposition 6.4. *For $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$, the ideal $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes J(\psi)^k)^\Gamma$ annihilates the local Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\psi)$ for some positive integer k. In particular, $W^\Gamma(\psi) \in \mathcal{F}^\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in (\operatorname{Supp}\psi)_\Gamma$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = \operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi$, fix a nonzero element $m \in M^\Gamma(\psi)$ and let $J = J(\psi)$.

First suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n}. Let θ be the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\{e_\theta, f_\theta, h_\theta\}$ be a corresponding $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. Then $\{e_\theta, f_\theta, h_\theta\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ and this set forms an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple corresponding to $\theta_\Gamma := \theta|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma}$, which is the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. One can see from Proposition 2.8 (see also [CFK10, Prop. 4]) that there is a map of $(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes A^\Gamma)$-modules from the untwisted global Weyl module for $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes A^\Gamma$ to the twisted global Weyl module for $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)^\Gamma$ that maps

w_λ to w_λ^Γ. Thus, applying [CFK10, Prop. 9] (see also [CFS08, Prop. 4.1]) with $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ in place of $\mathfrak{g}$ and A^Γ in place of A, we have

$$\left(f_\theta \otimes a^{\lambda(h_\theta)}\right)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = 0, \quad a \in J^\Gamma. \tag{6.2}$$

Since $[f_\theta, \mathfrak{n}^-] = 0$ and $\mathcal{U}\left((\mathfrak{n}^- \otimes A)^\Gamma\right)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = W^\Gamma(\psi)$, we have

$$\left(f_\theta \otimes a^{\lambda(h_\theta)}\right) W^\Gamma(\psi) = 0, \quad a \in J^\Gamma.$$

Since $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ is simple and the annihilator of $W^\Gamma(\psi)$ in $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes A^\Gamma$ is an ideal, it follows that $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes a^{\lambda(h_\theta)}$ annihilates $W^\Gamma(\psi)$ for all $a \in J^\Gamma = J_0$. By Lemma 5.1, the annihilator of $W^\Gamma(\psi)$ is of the form $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes J')^\Gamma$ for some Γ-invariant ideal J' of A. By the above, we have $a^{\lambda(h_\theta)} \in J'_0$ for all $a \in J_0$. By [AKL94, Th. 5], this implies that $(J_0)^{\lambda(h_\theta)} \subseteq J'_0$. Let K be the ideal of A generated by $(J_0)^{\lambda(h_\theta)}$. For $\xi \in \Xi$, we have

$$K_\xi = A_\xi (J_0)^{\lambda(h_\theta)} = J_\xi (J_0)^{\lambda(h_\theta)-1} = (J^{\lambda(h_\theta)})_\xi,$$

where the second equality holds by Lemma 6.2 and the third equality holds by [NSa, Lem. 4.4]. Thus $K = J^{\lambda(h_\theta)}$. By Lemma 6.3, the ideal of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$ generated by $\mathfrak{g} \otimes (J_0)^{\lambda(h_\theta)}$ is equal to $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes K)^\Gamma$. Thus we have $J^{\lambda(h_\theta)} = K \subseteq J'$.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n}. Let β_Γ denote the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ (which is of type B_n) and β_Γ^s the highest short root. We let $\{e_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_{\beta_\Gamma}, h_{\beta_\Gamma}\}$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ corresponding to β_Γ. Since Γ is of order two, $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes into $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. By [Kac90, Prop. 8.3d], we know that $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is a simple $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module of highest weight $2\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I_\Gamma} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$ ([Kac90, §8.3, Table]), which is equal to $2\beta_\Gamma^s$ (see, for example, [Hum72, §12.2, Table 2]).

Recall that $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the simple root $\alpha_i \in R^+$, $i \in I$. Then, for $1 \le i \le n$,

$$f_{\mathbf{1}} = \sqrt{\kappa_{\mathbf{1}}}(f_1 + f_{2n}) \in \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \text{ and } f_i - f_{2n+1-i} \in \mathfrak{g}_1. \tag{6.3}$$

Before completing the proof of the proposition, we develop some additional ideas specific to the A_{2n} case. Since $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ is of type B_n, $2\beta_\Gamma^s - \beta_\Gamma$ is the simple root $\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 5.6(a) that the weight of $f_1 - f_{2n}$ is $-\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}$. Consider the vector

$$[f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}] \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{-2\beta_\Gamma^s},$$

which we claim is nonzero. Assuming the claim, we see that $[f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]$ spans the lowest weight space of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ as a $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-module, since simple finite-dimensional modules for B_n are self-dual. Therefore

$$\left[\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_+^\Gamma), [f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]\right] = \mathfrak{g}_1, \tag{6.4}$$

that is, $[f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]$ generates $\mathfrak{g}_1$ as an $\mathfrak{n}_+^\Gamma$-module.

To see that $[f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}] \neq 0$, let $w \in (\mathfrak{g}_1)_{-2\beta_\Gamma^s}$. Since $[h_{\beta_\Gamma}, w] = -2\beta_\Gamma^s(h_{\beta_\Gamma})w = -2w$, it follows that $[e_{\beta_\Gamma}, w]$ is a nonzero weight vector of weight $-\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}$. Now this weight space has dimension 1, for the following reason. First, a straightforward computation shows that

$$s_{\mathbf{2}} s_{\mathbf{3}} \cdots s_{\mathbf{n-1}} s_{\mathbf{n}} s_{\mathbf{n-1}} \cdots s_{\mathbf{3}} s_{\mathbf{2}} s_{\mathbf{1}}(-\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}) = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}} + 2\alpha_{\mathbf{2}} + \cdots + 2\alpha_{\mathbf{n}} = \beta_\Gamma$$

and so $-\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}$ is in the Weyl group orbit of β_Γ. Now the dimension of the β_Γ weight space of the Verma module of highest weight $2\beta_\Gamma^s$ is one, the number of ways of writing $2\beta_\Gamma^s - \beta_\Gamma = \alpha_{\mathbf{1}}$ as a sum of positive roots. Thus $V(2\beta_\Gamma^s)_{\beta_\Gamma}$ has dimension at most 1. On the other hand, since β_Γ is a dominant weight lying below the highest weight of $V(2\beta_\Gamma^s)$, the dimension is

also at least 1, and thus $\dim_{\Bbbk} V(2\beta_\Gamma^s)_{\beta_\Gamma} = 1$. Because β_Γ is Weyl conjugate to $-\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}$, it follows that $\dim V(2\beta_\Gamma^s)_{-\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}} = 1$ and so $[e_{\beta_\Gamma}, w]$ is a nonzero constant multiple of $f_1 - f_{2n}$. Since $[f_{\beta_\Gamma}, [e_{\beta_\Gamma}, w]]$ is a nonzero multiple of w, we see that $[f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}] \neq 0$, which proves the claim and establishes (6.4).

Now, the set of weights $\mu_\Gamma \in \Lambda_\Gamma$ with $-\beta_\Gamma > \mu_\Gamma > -2\beta_\Gamma^s$ is empty since the difference $2\beta_\Gamma^s - \beta_\Gamma$ is the simple root $\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}$, and so it follows that

$$[(\mathfrak{n}_-)_1, f_{\beta_\Gamma}] = \mathrm{Span}_{\Bbbk}[f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]. \tag{6.5}$$

Finally, we observe that

$$[\mathfrak{n}_-, [f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]] = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

To see this, note that $[\mathfrak{n}_-^\Gamma, [f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]] = 0$ since any vector in this space would be a vector in $\mathfrak{g}_1$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weight strictly lower than the lowest weight. On the other hand, $[(\mathfrak{n}_-)_1, [f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]] = 0$ since any vector in this space would be a vector in $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$-weight strictly lower than $-2\beta_\Gamma^s \leq -\beta_\Gamma$.

To complete the proof of the proposition in the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n}, we will generalize the arguments used in [CFS08, Prop. 4.1], where the proposition was proven for the twisted loop algebra. Recall that we have decompositions $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $J = J_0 \oplus J_1$, and so again by [NSa, Lem. 4.4], we have

$$(\mathfrak{g} \otimes J^r)^\Gamma = (\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes (J_0)^r) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes J_1(J_0)^{r-1}) \quad \text{for } r \geq 1.$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\left(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes (J_0)^k\right) W^\Gamma(\psi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \tag{6.7}$$

$$\left(\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes J_1(J_0)^k\right) W^\Gamma(\psi) = 0, \tag{6.8}$$

for sufficiently large k. As in (6.2) we obtain

$$\left(f_{\beta_\Gamma} \otimes a^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\right)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = 0, \quad a \in J_0.$$

Using the fact that f_{β_Γ} is a lowest weight vector for the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, it follows, once again using [AKL94, Th. 5], that

$$\left(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes (J_0)^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\right)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = 0. \tag{6.9}$$

In particular,

$$\left(f_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes (J_0)^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\right)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = 0. \tag{6.10}$$

Since $[h_1 - h_{2n}, f_{\mathbf{1}}] = -(2 + \delta_{1,n})\sqrt{\kappa_{\mathbf{1}}}(f_1 - f_{2n}) \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left((f_1 - f_{2n}) \otimes J_1(J_0)^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\right)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) &= \left([h_1 - h_{2n}, f_{\mathbf{1}}] \otimes J_1(J_0)^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\right)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) \\ &= \left[(h_1 - h_{2n}) \otimes J_1, f_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes (J_0)^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\right](w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left((f_1 - f_{2n}) \otimes J_1(J_0)^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\right)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = 0, \tag{6.11}$$

by (6.10) and the fact that $(h_1 - h_{2n}) \otimes J_1 \subseteq (\mathfrak{h} \otimes A)^\Gamma$ acts by scalar multiplication on the highest weight vector $w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m$.

By (6.9) and (6.11), we have

$$\big([f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}] \otimes J_1(J_0)^{2\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\big)\,(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = \big[f_{\beta_\Gamma} \otimes (J_0)^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}, (f_1 - f_{2n}) \otimes J_1(J_0)^{\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\big]\,(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = 0. \tag{6.12}$$

By (6.6), $[\mathfrak{n}_-, [f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]] = 0$. Thus, again using the fact that $W^\Gamma(\psi) = \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}^- \otimes A)^\Gamma)(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m)$, we have that

$$\big([f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}] \otimes J_1(J_0)^{2\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})}\big)\, W^\Gamma(\psi) = 0.$$

By (6.4), $[f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}]$ generates $\mathfrak{g}_1$ as an $\mathfrak{n}_+^\Gamma$-module, which now establishes (6.8).

Combining (6.5), (6.6) and (6.9), we see that

$$\big(f_{\beta_\Gamma} \otimes (J_0)^k\big))W^\Gamma(\psi) \subseteq \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{n}^- \otimes A)^\Gamma)\,\big([f_{\beta_\Gamma}, f_1 - f_{2n}] \otimes J_1(J_0)^k\big)\,(w_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes m) = 0$$

for $k \geq 2\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma})$, where the last equality follows by (6.12). Again since f_{β_Γ} is a lowest weight vector for the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$, we have

$$(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma \otimes (J_0)^k)W^\Gamma(\psi) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 2\lambda(h_{\beta_\Gamma}),$$

which establishes (6.7) and completes the proof. □

Lemma 6.5. *A $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module V is isomorphic to the local Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\psi)$ if and only if it satisfies the following three conditions:*

(a) $V \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$, where $\lambda = \operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi$,
(b) $\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V \cong M^\Gamma(\psi)$, and
(c) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(V, U) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}}(V, U) = 0$ for all irreducible finite-dimensional $U \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ with $U_\lambda = 0$.

Proof. If V satisfies the conditions in the lemma, then by Corollary 4.12 we have $V \cong \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda V \cong \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M^\Gamma(\psi) = W^\Gamma(\psi)$.

Conversely, the local Weyl module $W^\Gamma(\psi)$ satisfies (a) by definition of the functor $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda$. We have $\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda W^\Gamma(\psi) = \mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M^\Gamma(\psi) \cong M^\Gamma(\psi)$ by Lemma 3.8(a). Then $W^\Gamma(\psi)$ satisfies (c) by (3.4) and Theorem 3.10 (or Corollary 4.12). □

Fix $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{x} \in (\operatorname{Supp} \psi)_\Gamma$. Set $\lambda' = \operatorname{wt} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\lambda = \operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi = \lambda'|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma}$. By [CFK10, Prop. 9], there exists a positive integer n_1 such that $\mathfrak{g} \otimes J(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})^{n_1}$ annihilates the untwisted local Weyl module $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda'} M(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})$. By Proposition 6.4, there exists a positive integer n_2 such that $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes J(\psi)^{n_2})^\Gamma$ annihilates the twisted local Weyl module $\mathbf{W}^\Gamma_\lambda M^\Gamma(\psi)$. Let $n = \max(n_1, n_2)$. We have a sequence of isomorphisms

$$(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma / (\mathfrak{g} \otimes J(\psi)^n)^\Gamma \cong (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A/J(\psi)^n)^\Gamma \cong \Big(\bigoplus\nolimits_{x \in \operatorname{Supp} \psi} \mathfrak{g} \otimes A/\mathsf{m}_x^n\Big)^\Gamma \cong \bigoplus\nolimits_{x \in \mathbf{x}} \mathfrak{g} \otimes A/\mathsf{m}_x^n \cong (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)/(\mathfrak{g} \otimes J(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})^n).$$

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose that Γ is abelian, acts freely on X_{rat} and acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms. Then $W^\Gamma(\psi) = \mathbf{T}(W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}}))$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{x} \in (\operatorname{Supp} \psi)_\Gamma$. In other words, the twisted local Weyl module is obtained by restriction from an untwisted local Weyl module and thus isomorphic to the twisted local Weyl module as defined in* [FKKS12, Def. 3.7].

Proof. Fix $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{x} \in (\operatorname{Supp} \psi)_\Gamma$. By Proposition 6.4, $W^\Gamma(\psi) \in \mathcal{F}^\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$. Let $\lambda' = \operatorname{wt} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\lambda = \operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi$, so that $\lambda = \lambda'|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma}$. Then $W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}}) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}_{\leq\lambda'}$ and so, by Lemma 5.6(c), $\mathbf{T}(W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$.

Now suppose $V^\Gamma(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$, is an irreducible finite-dimensional object of $\mathcal{I}^\Gamma_{\leq\lambda}$ with $V^\Gamma(\varphi)_\lambda = 0$. This implies that $\operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \varphi \in \lambda - Q^+_\Gamma = \operatorname{wt}_\Gamma \psi - Q^+_\Gamma$. Thus, by Lemma 5.6(b), we have $\operatorname{ht} \varphi_{\mathbf{x}} < \operatorname{ht} \psi_{\mathbf{x}}$. Enlarging $\mathbf{x}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\operatorname{Supp} \varphi \subseteq \Gamma \cdot \mathbf{x}$ (i.e. $V^\Gamma(\varphi) \in \mathcal{F}^\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}$). For $\ell = 0, 1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^\ell_{\mathcal{F}^\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})), V^\Gamma(\varphi)) = \operatorname{Ext}^\ell_{\mathcal{F}^\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})), \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(V(\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}))) = \operatorname{Ext}^\ell_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}}(W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}}), V(\varphi_{\mathbf{x}})) = 0,$$

where the first two equalities follow from Proposition 5.5 and the last equality follows from [FKKS12, Th. 4.5].

Now, the weight space $W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})_{\lambda'}$ is isomorphic to the weight space $V(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})_{\lambda'}$ as a $(\mathfrak{h} \otimes A)$-module. Restricting the action to $(\mathfrak{h} \otimes A)^\Gamma$ and using the fact that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(V(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})) = V(\psi)$, we see that the weight space $(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})))_\lambda$ is isomorphic to the weight space $V(\psi)_\lambda$ as a $(\mathfrak{h} \otimes A)^\Gamma$-module, and hence as a $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-module. Therefore $\mathbf{R}^\Gamma_\lambda \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}})) = M^\Gamma(\psi)$. Thus, by Lemma 6.5, we have $W^\Gamma(\psi) = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(W(\psi_{\mathbf{x}}))$ as desired. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 4.17.

Proof of Theorem 4.17. Choose $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+$ such that $\lambda'|_{\mathfrak{h}^\Gamma} = \lambda$ (see Prop. 4.15(a)). By Proposition 6.6, the local Weyl modules $W^\Gamma(\psi)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$, for $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)^\Gamma$ are restrictions of local Weyl modules $W(\psi')$, $\psi' \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda'}$, for the untwisted map algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$. In addition, we have that $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ is a finitely generated algebra and $W^\Gamma(\lambda)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$-module by Theorems 4.8 and 4.10.

(a) Assume A is the coordinate algebra of a smooth complex algebraic variety and λ is a fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma$. Then, by [CFK10, Cor. 8], the dimensions of the local Weyl modules $W(\psi')$, $\psi' \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda'}$, for $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ are all equal. By the above, this implies that the dimensions of the local Weyl modules $W^\Gamma(\psi)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$, are all equal. Then the result follows from Corollary A.7.

(b) Assume $A = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$ and write $\psi = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \psi_\ell$ where $\psi_\ell \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_{\lambda_\ell}$ and λ_ℓ is a fundamental weight for $\ell = 1, \ldots, m$. By part (a) (and Corollary A.7), it suffices to show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W^\Gamma(\psi) = \textstyle\prod_{\ell=1}^m \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W^\Gamma(\psi_\ell). \tag{6.13}$$

By [CP01b, Th. 2] (or [FKKS12, Prop. 3.9]), we are reduced to the case where the support of ψ is a single Γ-orbit. Furthermore, since the twisted local Weyl modules are restrictions of untwisted ones (as explained above), it suffices to consider the untwisted case, i.e. we can assume Γ is trivial. Suppose $\operatorname{Supp} \psi = \{a\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then, by Proposition 6.4, $W(\psi)$ is annihilated by $\mathfrak{g} \otimes (t-a)^N \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}] \arrow[r, two heads] & \mathfrak{g} \otimes \left(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]/(t-a)^N \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]\right) \\
\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, two heads] & \mathfrak{g} \otimes \left(\mathbb{C}[t]/(t-a)^N \mathbb{C}[t]\right) \arrow[u, "\cong"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are induced by inclusion and the horizontal arrows are the natural projections. Since the local Weyl modules for the current algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ are annihilated by $\mathfrak{g} \otimes (t-a)^N \mathbb{C}[t]$ (increasing N if necessary), it follows that the pullback of the local Weyl module for the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is the local Weyl module for the current algebra (see Lemma 6.5). Thus it suffices to prove (6.13) for the current algebra. Then, by considering

the automorphism of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ determined by $u \otimes f(t) \mapsto u \otimes f(t-a)$ for $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, we see that it suffices to prove (6.13) when $a = 0$ (i.e. ψ is supported at the origin). This was proven in [CP01b, Th. 5] for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ (in fact, the statement there is for the loop algebra itself), in [CL06, Th. 1.5.1] for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, in [FL07, Cor. B] (and conjectured in [CP01a]) for simple simply laced $\mathfrak{g}$ and in [Nao12, Cor. A] for arbitrary simple $\mathfrak{g}$. We note that the freeness of the global Weyl module in the untwisted case also follows from results found in [Nak01, BN04]. □

7. The algebra $\mathbf{A}^\Gamma_\lambda$

In this section we give an alternative, and more explicit, characterization of the algebra $\mathbf{A}^\Gamma_\lambda$. We also relate it to the corresponding algebra in the untwisted setting. We assume in this section that the Jacobson radical $\operatorname{rad} A$ of A (which is equal to the nilradical of A since A is finitely generated) is zero and that Γ is a nontrivial cyclic group acting faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms (see Remark 4.2). We also continue to assume that Γ acts freely on X_{rat}. In addition, we assume in this section that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n}. In other words, we assume that Γ acts by *admissible* diagram automorphisms (no two nodes of the Dynkin diagram are contained in the same Γ-orbit). In this section, for a commutative associative unital algebra B, we will not distinguish between a point of $\operatorname{maxSpec} B$ and its corresponding maximal ideal.

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n}, the restriction map $\Lambda^+ \to \Lambda^+_\Gamma$ is surjective (see Lemma 5.6(a)). Recall that we can naturally identify I_Γ with the set I/Γ of Γ-orbits on I. Fix $\lambda = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I_\Gamma} r_{\mathbf{i}} \omega_{\mathbf{i}} \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$. For $\mathbf{i} \in I_\Gamma$ and $i \in \mathbf{i}$, let $r_i = r_{\mathbf{i}}$. Recall that, for $i \in I$, we let $\Gamma_i = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma i = i\}$ denote the corresponding isotropy subgroup. Let $J \subseteq I$ contain one point in each orbit of the Γ-action on I. We will often identify J with the set $\{1, \dots, |J|\}$ using the standard labeling of Dynkin diagrams found, for instance, in [Hum72, §11.4]. Define

$$\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma := \bigotimes\nolimits_{j \in J} S^{r_j}(A^{\Gamma_j}). \tag{7.1}$$

So we have a natural identification

$$\operatorname{maxSpec} \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma \cong \textstyle\prod_{j \in J} \big((\operatorname{maxSpec} A^{\Gamma_j})^{r_j}\big)/S_{r_j}. \tag{7.2}$$

From now on, we will identify the two sides of (7.2).

Recall that $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ is the set of Γ-equivariant finitely-supported maps from $X_{\mathrm{rat}} = \operatorname{maxSpec} A$ to Λ^+. Let $\mathbb{M} \in \operatorname{maxSpec} \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$. Then $\mathbb{M}$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{M} = ((\mathbb{M}_{j,\ell})_{\ell=1}^{r_j})_{j \in J},$$

where, for $j \in J$, the (unordered) tuple $(\mathbb{M}_{j,\ell})_{\ell=1}^{r_j}$ is an element of $\big((\operatorname{maxSpec} A^{\Gamma_j})^{r_j}\big)/S_{r_j}$. Hence, each $\mathbb{M}_{j,\ell}$ can be identified with an element of the quotient $(\operatorname{maxSpec} A)/\Gamma_j \cong \operatorname{maxSpec} A^{\Gamma_j}$, that is, with a Γ_j-orbit in $\operatorname{maxSpec} A$. Then define $\psi_{\mathbb{M}} \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ by

$$\psi_{\mathbb{M}}(\mathsf{m}) = \textstyle\sum_{j \in J,\ \gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_j} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_j} \delta_{\mathsf{m}, \gamma \mathbb{M}_{j,\ell}} \omega_{\gamma j}, \quad \mathsf{m} \in \operatorname{maxSpec} A,$$

where $\delta_{\mathsf{m},\mathsf{m}'}$, $\mathsf{m} \in \operatorname{maxSpec} A$, $\mathsf{m}' \in \operatorname{maxSpec} A^{\Gamma_j}$, is equal to one if $\mathsf{m} \mapsto \mathsf{m}'$ under the quotient map $\operatorname{maxSpec} A \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{maxSpec} A^{\Gamma_j}$ corresponding to the inclusion $A^{\Gamma_j} \hookrightarrow A$ (i.e. if $\mathsf{m}' = \mathsf{m} \cap A^{\Gamma_j}$), and is equal to zero otherwise. It is readily verified that the map $\mathbb{M} \mapsto \psi_{\mathbb{M}}$ is a well-defined bijection of sets $\operatorname{maxSpec} \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma \to \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$.

Recall that for $\mathsf{m} \in \operatorname{maxSpec} A$, the quotient A/m is canonically isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. We will identify the two in what follows. For $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$, choose $\mathsf{M} \in (\operatorname{Supp}\psi)_\Gamma$ and consider the composition

$$(\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}\otimes A \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathsf{m}\in\mathsf{M}} (\mathfrak{h}\otimes (A/\mathsf{m})) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathsf{m}\in\mathsf{M}} \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\sum_{\mathsf{m}\in\mathsf{M}}\psi(\mathsf{m})} \Bbbk. \tag{7.3}$$

One readily verifies that this map does not depend on the choice of M. It induces a map

$$\operatorname{hev}^\Gamma_\psi \colon \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma) \to \Bbbk.$$

We use the notation $\operatorname{hev}^\Gamma_\psi$ to distinguish this evaluation representation of $(\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma$ from the evaluation map $\operatorname{ev}^\Gamma_\psi$.

Lemma 7.1. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_\Gamma$, we have $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma)} w^\Gamma_\lambda \subseteq \bigcap_{\psi\in\mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda} \ker \operatorname{hev}^\Gamma_\psi$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma)} w^\Gamma_\lambda$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma_\lambda$. Since $V^\Gamma(\psi)$ is a quotient of $W^\Gamma(\psi)$, we have $uv^\Gamma_\lambda = 0$. But, by the definition of $V^\Gamma(\psi)$, we have $uv^\Gamma_\lambda = \operatorname{hev}^\Gamma_\psi(u)v^\Gamma_\lambda$. Thus $\operatorname{hev}^\Gamma_\psi(u) = 0$. □

For a $\Bbbk$-algebra B and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\operatorname{sym}_m(b) := \textstyle\sum_{\ell=1}^m 1^{\otimes(\ell-1)} \otimes b \otimes 1^{\otimes(m-\ell)} \in S^m B, \quad b \in B.$$

Recall that if B is finitely generated, then $S^m B$ is generated by elements of the form $\operatorname{sym}_m(b)$, $b \in B$ (see [EGH+, Lem. 4.56(ii)] or note that, since B is finitely generated, this follows from the case where B is a polynomial algebra in finitely many variables, in which case the result can be found in [Dal99, Th. 1.2], but goes back to [Sch52]). Thus the algebra $\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ is generated by the classes of elements of the form

$$\operatorname{sym}^j_\lambda(a) := 1^{\otimes(r_1+\cdots+r_{j-1})} \otimes \operatorname{sym}_{r_j}(a) \otimes 1^{\otimes(r_{j+1}+\cdots+r_{|J|})}, \quad j \in J,\ a \in A^{\Gamma_j}.$$

The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma$ is spanned by elements of the form

$$\overline{h_j \otimes a}, \quad j \in J,\ a \in A^{\Gamma_j} \tag{7.4}$$

(recall Definition 4.6). Let $\tilde{\tau}_\lambda \colon \mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ be the surjective map determined by

$$\tilde{\tau}_\lambda\left(\overline{h_j \otimes a}\right) = \operatorname{sym}^j_\lambda(a), \quad j \in J,\ a \in A^{\Gamma_j}. \tag{7.5}$$

Lemma 7.2. *We have $\operatorname{hev}^\Gamma_{\psi_\mathbb{M}} = \operatorname{ev}_\mathbb{M} \circ \tilde{\tau}_\lambda$ for all $\mathbb{M} \in \operatorname{maxSpec} \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$, where $\operatorname{ev}_\mathbb{M} \colon \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma/\mathbb{M} \cong \Bbbk$ is the canonical projection for $\mathbb{M} \in \operatorname{maxSpec} \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the maps agree on elements of the form (7.4). Fix $k \in J$ and $a \in A^{\Gamma_k}$. Choose $\mathbb{M} = ((\mathbb{M}_{j,\ell})^{r_j}_{\ell=1})_{j\in J} \in \operatorname{maxSpec} \mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$. Then

$$\operatorname{ev}_\mathbb{M} \circ \tilde{\tau}_\lambda(\overline{h_k \otimes a}) = \operatorname{ev}_\mathbb{M}(\operatorname{sym}^k_\lambda(a)) = \textstyle\sum_{\ell=1}^{r_k}(a + \mathbb{M}_{k,\ell}) \in \Bbbk,$$

where we have canonically identified $A^{\Gamma_k}/\mathbb{M}_{k,\ell} \cong \Bbbk$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, r_k$.

On the other hand, choose M in (7.3) to contain $\{\mathsf{m}_{k,\ell}\}^{r_k}_{\ell=1}$, where $\mathsf{m}_{k,\ell} \mapsto \mathbb{M}_{k,\ell}$ under the quotient map $\operatorname{maxSpec} A \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{maxSpec} A^{\Gamma_k}$. Then

$$\operatorname{hev}^\Gamma_{\psi_\mathbb{M}}(\overline{h_k \otimes a}) = \textstyle\sum_{\ell=1}^{r_k}(a + \mathsf{m}_{k,\ell}) \in \Bbbk.$$

Since, for $\ell = 1, \dots, r_k$, the inclusion $A^{\Gamma_k} \hookrightarrow A$ induces an isomorphism $A^{\Gamma_k}/\mathbb{M}_{k,\ell} \cong A/\mathsf{m}_{k,\ell}$ mapping $a + \mathbb{M}_{k,\ell} \mapsto a + \mathsf{m}_{k,\ell}$, the proof is complete. □

Lemma 7.3. *We have* $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}((\mathfrak{h}\otimes A)^\Gamma)} w_\lambda^\Gamma \subseteq \ker \tilde{\tau}_\lambda$ *and thus* $\tilde{\tau}_\lambda$ *induces a surjective algebra homomorphism* $\tau_\lambda \colon \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$.

Proof. We have

$$\ker \tilde{\tau}_\lambda = \bigcap\nolimits_{\mathbb{M}\in \operatorname{maxSpec} \mathbb{A}_\Gamma^\lambda} \ker \operatorname{ev}_{\mathbb{M}} \circ \tilde{\tau}_\lambda = \bigcap\nolimits_{\psi\in\mathcal{E}_\lambda^\Gamma} \ker \operatorname{hev}_\psi^\Gamma.$$

Indeed, the first equality follows from the fact that $\operatorname{rad} \mathbb{A}_\Gamma^\lambda = 0$ (since $\operatorname{rad} A = 0$) and the second follows from Lemma 7.2 and the fact that the map $\mathbb{M} \mapsto \psi_{\mathbb{M}}$ is a bijection $\operatorname{maxSpec} \mathbb{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \to \mathcal{E}_\lambda^\Gamma$. The statement of the lemma then follows from Lemma 7.1. □

Recall that we have a Ξ-grading $A = \bigoplus_{\xi\in\Xi} A_\xi$ on A, where Ξ is the character group of Γ. Choosing a basis for each A_ξ yields a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of A. We do this in such a way that our basis contains the element $1 \in A$. Since A is finitely generated, the basis $\mathcal{B}$ is countable. So we can write $\mathcal{B} = \{a_r \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\}$, with $a_0 = 1$. We say that $a_r \le a_{r'}$ if $r \le r'$. Since each A^{Γ_j}, $j \in J$, is a sum of isotypic components A_ξ, $\xi \in \Xi$, we have that $\mathcal{B}_j := \mathcal{B} \cap A^{\Gamma_j}$ is a basis of A^{Γ_j} for $j \in J$.

Lemma 7.4. *The elements*

$$\textstyle\prod_{j\in J} \prod_{s=1}^{m_j} \overline{h_j \otimes b_{j,s}}\, w_\lambda^\Gamma, \quad b_{j,s} \in \mathcal{B}_j,\ a_0 < b_{j,1} \le \cdots \le b_{j,m_j},\ m_j \le r_j \text{ for } j \in J,$$

span $W^\Gamma(\lambda)_\lambda$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that for all $j \in J$ and $a_{p_1}, \dots, a_{p_\ell} \in \mathcal{B}_j$ with $1 \le p_1 \le \cdots \le p_\ell$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\textstyle\prod_{s=1}^{\ell} \overline{h_j \otimes a_{p_s}}\, w_\lambda^\Gamma \in \operatorname{Span}_{\Bbbk} \left\{ \prod_{q=1}^{m} \overline{h_j \otimes a_{t_q}}\, w_\lambda^\Gamma \;\middle|\; 1 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_m,\ m \le r_j \right\}.$$

For $j \in J$ and $a, a' \in \mathcal{B}_j$, we have

$$\left[\overline{e_j \otimes a}, \overline{f_j \otimes a'}\right] = \overline{h_j \otimes aa'},$$
$$\left[\overline{h_j \otimes a}, \overline{e_j \otimes a'}\right] = 2\,\overline{e_j \otimes aa'}, \quad \left[\overline{h_j \otimes a}, \overline{f_j \otimes a'}\right] = -2\,\overline{f_j \otimes aa'}.$$

Thus, for $\ell \ge r_j + 1$, we have

$$0 = \left(\textstyle\prod_{s=1}^{\ell} \overline{e_j \otimes a_{p_s}}\right) \left(\overline{f_j \otimes 1}\right)^\ell w_\lambda^\Gamma = \prod_{s=1}^{\ell} \overline{h_j \otimes a_{p_s}}\, w_\lambda^\Gamma + C w_\lambda^\Gamma,$$

where C is a $\Bbbk$-linear combination of elements of the form $\prod_{s=1}^{m} \overline{h_j \otimes a_{p_{k_s}}}$ with $m < \ell$. The lemma follows by induction on ℓ. □

By Lemma 7.4, we see that $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ is spanned by the image of the set

$$\left\{ \textstyle\prod_{j\in J} \prod_{s=1}^{m_j} \overline{h_j \otimes b_{j,s}} \;\middle|\; b_{j,s} \in \mathcal{B}_j,\ a_0 < b_{j,1} \le \cdots \le b_{j,m_j},\ m_j \le r_j \text{ for } j \in J \right\}. \tag{7.6}$$

We now state the first main result of this section, which gives an explicit realization of the algebra $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$.

Theorem 7.5. *The map* $\tau_\lambda \colon \mathbf{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \to \mathbb{A}_\Gamma^\lambda$ *is an isomorphism of algebras.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.3, it suffices to show that τ_λ is injective. For this, it is enough to show that the images under τ_λ of the elements of the set (7.6) are linearly independent (over $\Bbbk$) in $\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$. Now, for $b_{j,s} \in \mathcal{B}_j$, $s = 1, \dots, m_j$, $a_0 < b_{j,1} \le \cdots \le b_{j,m_j}$, $m_j \le r_j$, $j \in J$, we have

$$\tau_\lambda \left(\prod_{j\in J} \prod_{s=1}^{m_j} \overline{h_j \otimes b_{j,s}} \right) = \prod_{j\in J} \prod_{s=1}^{m_j} \mathrm{sym}^j_\lambda(b_{j,s}). \tag{7.7}$$

Since the tensor product of linearly independent sets is linearly independent, it suffices to prove that, for a fixed $j \in J$, the elements

$$\prod_{s=1}^{m} \mathrm{sym}_{r_j}(b_s), \quad b_s \in \mathcal{B}_j,\ s = 1, \dots, m,\ a_0 < b_1 \le \cdots \le b_m,\ m \le r_j,$$

are linearly independent elements of $S^{r_j}(A^{\Gamma_j})$. Consider a linear combination of distinct elements of this set equal to zero:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{N} \left(c_t \prod_{s=1}^{m_t} \mathrm{sym}_{r_j}(b_{s,t}) \right) = 0 \tag{7.8}$$

for some $b_{s,t} \in \mathcal{B}_j$, $t = 1, \dots, N$, $s = 1, \dots, m_t$, $a_0 < b_{1,t} \le \cdots \le b_{m_t,t}$, $m_t \le r_j$ and $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \Bbbk$. Choose $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r_j\}$. Let $A^{\Gamma_j}_+ := \mathrm{Span}_\Bbbk\{b \in \mathcal{B}_j \mid b \ne 1\} \subsetneq A^{\Gamma_j}$. Applying the projection $S^{r_j}(A^{\Gamma_j}) \twoheadrightarrow (A^{\Gamma_j}_+)^{\otimes \ell} \otimes 1^{\otimes(r_j-\ell)}$ to both sides of (7.8) gives

$$\sum_{1\le t\le N,\ m_t=\ell} c_t \sum_{\varsigma\in S_\ell} \varsigma \left(b_{1,t} \otimes b_{2,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{\ell,t} \otimes 1^{\otimes(r_j-\ell)} \right) = 0,$$

where we view S_ℓ as a subgroup of S_n in the natural way (i.e. permuting the first ℓ elements). Since $b_{1,t}, \dots, b_{\ell,t}$ are elements of a basis of A (for any t), the elements

$$\sum_{\varsigma\in S_\ell} \varsigma \left(b_{1,t} \otimes b_{2,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{\ell,t} \otimes 1^{\otimes(r_j-\ell)} \right)$$

appearing above are linearly independent. Thus $c_t = 0$ for all $t = 1, \dots, N$. □

In the remainder of this section, we provide an alternative description of $\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$ in terms of coinvariants that does not depend on the choice J of one element from each Γ-orbit in I. For an algebra B with the action (by automorphisms) of a finite group Υ, we define $B_{(\Upsilon)}$ to be the ideal of B generated by the set $\{b - \gamma b \mid b \in B,\ \gamma \in \Upsilon\}$ and let $B_\Upsilon := B/B_{(\Upsilon)}$ be the algebra of coinvariants. We hope this causes no confusion with the notation $\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$, which is not, a priori, the algebra of coinvariants of $\mathbb{A}^\lambda$ (but see Theorem 7.8). Note that if Υ is abelian, then $B_{(\Upsilon)}$ is the ideal of B generated by $\bigoplus_{\xi\in\Xi,\,\xi\ne 0} B_\xi$, where Ξ is the character group of Υ.

Lemma 7.6. *Suppose Υ is a finite group acting on a commutative unital $\Bbbk$-algebra B by algebra automorphisms and simply transitively on a finite set Z. Consider the action of Υ on $B' := \bigotimes_{z\in Z} B_z$, where $B_z = B$ for all $z \in Z$, determined by*

$$\gamma \left(\bigotimes_{z\in Z} b_z \right) = \bigotimes_{z\in Z} \gamma b_{\gamma^{-1}z}, \quad \gamma \in \Upsilon,\ b_z \in B, z \in Z.$$

Then $(B')_\Upsilon \cong B$.

Proof. Label the elements of Υ so that we have $\Upsilon = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$, with τ_1 being the identity element of Υ. Choose $z_1 \in Z$, and set $z_i = \tau_i z_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. So $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ and we have a natural action of Υ on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by defining $\gamma i = j$ if $\gamma z_i = z_j$ (equivalently, if $\gamma\tau_i = \tau_j$) for $\gamma \in \Upsilon$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Consider the algebra homomorphism determined by

$$\varpi \colon B' \to B, \quad \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} b_i \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \tau_i^{-1} b_i, \quad b_i \in B_{z_i}.$$

Clearly ϖ is surjective and so it remains to show that $\ker \varpi = (B')_{(\Upsilon)}$. For all $b' = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n b_i$ (recalling that elements of this form span B'), we have

$$\varpi(\gamma b') = \varpi\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \gamma b_{\gamma^{-1}i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i^{-1}\gamma b_{\gamma^{-1}i} = \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_j^{-1} b_j = \varpi(b'),$$

where, in the third equality, we have changed the index by setting $j = \gamma^{-1}i$. Thus $(B')_{(\Upsilon)} \subseteq \ker \varpi$.

Now suppose $b' \in \ker \varpi$. Then we can write

$$b' = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}(b_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n,j}), \quad b_{i,j} \in B_{z_i} \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le n,\ 1 \le j \le \ell.$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$b_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n,j} \equiv \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i^{-1} b_{i,j}\right) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \mod B_{(\Upsilon)}.$$

Therefore,

$$b' \equiv \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i^{-1} b_{i,j}\right) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \equiv \varpi(b') \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \equiv 0 \mod B_{(\Upsilon)}. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 7.7. *Suppose Υ is a finite cyclic group acting on a finitely generated commutative associative unital $\Bbbk$-algebra B by algebra automorphisms in such a way that the induced action of Υ on* $\operatorname{maxSpec} B$ *is free. Fix a positive integer n and consider the diagonal action of Υ on $B^{\otimes n}$. This action commutes with the natural action of S_n on $B^{\otimes n}$ and thus we have an induced action of Υ on $S^n B$.*

(a) If the order of Υ does not divide n, then $(S^n B)_\Upsilon = 0$.
(b) If $n = m|\Upsilon|$ for some positive integer m and $(S^n B)_\Upsilon$ is reduced, then $(S^n B)_\Upsilon \cong S^m(B^\Upsilon)$.

Proof. (a) We have a bijection

$$\operatorname{maxSpec}(S^n B)_\Upsilon \cong \left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \operatorname{maxSpec} B\right)/S_n\right)^\Upsilon.$$

In other words, the maximal ideals of $(S^n B)_\Upsilon$ can be identified with Υ-invariant unordered n-tuples of maximal ideals of B. Therefore, they are unions of Υ-orbits on the set $\operatorname{maxSpec} B$. Since this action is free, $(S^n B)_\Upsilon$ has no maximal ideals if n is not divisible by the order of Υ.

(b) Let $\ell = |\Upsilon|$ and assume $n = m\ell$ for some positive integer m. Recall that for any $\Bbbk$-algebra C with an Υ-action, we have the induced grading $C = \bigoplus_{\xi \in \Xi} C_\xi$, where Ξ is the character group of Υ. Let σ be a generator of Υ and consider the map

$$B^{\otimes \ell} \to B, \quad b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_\ell \mapsto b_1 \sigma(b_2) \cdots \sigma^{\ell-1}(b_\ell),$$

extended by linearity. Let Φ' denote the restriction of this map to $S^\ell B$. One easily checks that Φ' is Υ-invariant and $\Phi'(S^\ell B) = B_0$. Thus

$$\Phi'((S^\ell B)_\xi) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \neq 0. \tag{7.9}$$

We have the induced surjective map

$$\Phi\colon (S^\ell B)^{\otimes m} \xrightarrow{(\Phi')^{\otimes m}} (B_0)^{\otimes m}.$$

Restricting Φ to $S^n B$ gives a surjective map

$$\varphi\colon S^n B \twoheadrightarrow S^m(B_0).$$

Now,

$$B^{\otimes n} \cong (B^{\otimes n})_0 \oplus B', \quad \text{where } B' = \bigoplus_{\xi\in\Xi,\,\xi\neq 0}(B^{\otimes n})_\xi. \tag{7.10}$$

Each summand in the decomposition (7.10) is preserved by the action of S_n. Thus

$$S^n B \cong ((B^{\otimes n})_0)^{S_n} \oplus (B')^{S_n}.$$

Now,

$$(B')^{S_n} \subseteq \bigoplus_{\xi_1+\cdots+\xi_m\neq 0}(S^\ell B)_{\xi_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes(S^\ell B)_{\xi_m}.$$

Thus it follows from (7.9) that $\varphi((B')^{S_n}) = 0$. So φ vanishes on the ideal of $S^n B$ generated by $(B')^{S_n}$, which is precisely $(S^n B)_{(\Upsilon)}$. Therefore φ induces a surjective map of algebras

$$\bar\varphi\colon (S^n B)_\Upsilon \twoheadrightarrow S^m(B_0) = S^m(B^\Upsilon).$$

Applying the functor Spec gives a morphism of schemes

$$\operatorname{Spec}\bar\varphi\colon\ \operatorname{Spec} S^m(B^\Upsilon) \to \operatorname{Spec}(S^n B)_\Upsilon$$

which is a closed immersion. Now,

$$\operatorname{maxSpec} S^m(B^\Upsilon) \cong (\operatorname{maxSpec} B^\Upsilon)^m/S_m \cong ((\operatorname{maxSpec} B)/\Upsilon)^m/S_m, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\operatorname{maxSpec}(S^n B)_\Upsilon \cong (\operatorname{maxSpec} S^n B)^\Upsilon \cong ((\operatorname{maxSpec} B)^n/S_n)^\Upsilon.$$

The map $\operatorname{Spec}\bar\varphi$ induces a bijection between these two sets. Namely, it maps the element of $\operatorname{maxSpec} S^m(B^\Upsilon)$ corresponding to an (unordered) m-tuple of Υ-orbits on $\operatorname{maxSpec} B$ to the union (counting multiplicity) of these orbits, which is an Υ-invariant n-tuple of $\operatorname{maxSpec} B$. In particular, $\operatorname{Spec}\bar\varphi$ is surjective on maximal ideals. Thus $\ker\bar\varphi$ is included in the intersection of all the maximal ideals of $(S^n B)_\Upsilon$. Now, since B is finitely generated, so is $B^{\otimes n}$, hence so is the fixed point algebra $S^n B = (B^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$, and thus so is the quotient $(S^n B)_\Upsilon$. Therefore, the intersection of all the maximal ideals of $(S^n B)_\Upsilon$ is equal to the nilradical of $(S^n B)_\Upsilon$, which is zero by our assumption that $(S^n B)_\Upsilon$ is reduced. Thus $\bar\varphi$ is injective and hence an isomorphism. □

Define

$$\mathbb{A}^\lambda := \bigotimes_{i\in I} S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A. \tag{7.11}$$

The diagonal action of Γ on $A^{\otimes r_i|\Gamma_i|}$ induces an action on $S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A$ for each $i\in I$. Then Γ acts on $\mathbb{A}^\lambda$ via

$$\gamma\left(\bigotimes_{i\in I} a_i\right) = \bigotimes_{i\in I}\gamma a_{\gamma^{-1}i}, \quad \gamma\in\Gamma,\ a_i\in S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A,\ i\in I.$$

Theorem 7.8. *If $(S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A)_{\Gamma_i}$ is reduced for all $i\in I$, then*

$$\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{A}^\lambda)_\Gamma.$$

Proof. For $i\in I$, let $B_i = S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A$. Since the action of Γ preserves each factor $\bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i$ in $\mathbb{A}^\lambda = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma}\bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i$, it suffices to prove the theorem for the case that $\lambda = r_{\mathbf{i}}\omega_{\mathbf{i}}$ for some $\mathbf{i}\in I_\Gamma$. Let $j\in J$ be the point in the Γ-orbit $\mathbf{i}$ that we chose in our definition of $\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma$. Since Γ is commutative, we have $\Gamma_i = \Gamma_j$ for all $i\in\mathbf{i}$. So we have $\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma = S^{r_j}(A^{\Gamma_j})$ and $\mathbb{A}^\lambda = \bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i$.

By Lemma 7.7, we have

$$\mathbb{A}^\lambda_\Gamma = S^{r_j}(A^{\Gamma_j}) \cong (B_j)_{\Gamma_j}.$$

Consider the composition

$$\mathbb{A}^\lambda = \bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i \overset{\varpi'}{\twoheadrightarrow} \left(\bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i\right)_{\Gamma_j} \cong \bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} (B_i)_{\Gamma_j} \overset{\varpi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (B_j)_{\Gamma_j}, \tag{7.12}$$

where ϖ' is the natural projection and the third map is the map ϖ of the proof of Lemma 7.6, with $\Upsilon := \Gamma/\Gamma_j$ and $Z := \mathbf{i}$. Since ϖ and ϖ' are both surjective, it suffices to show that the kernel of the above composition is $(\mathbb{A}^\lambda)_{(\Gamma)}$. We know from the proof of Lemma 7.6 that the kernel of ϖ is $\left(\bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} (B_i)_{\Gamma_j}\right)_{(\Gamma/\Gamma_j)}$, which is isomorphic to $\left(\left(\bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i\right)_{\Gamma_j}\right)_{(\Gamma/\Gamma_j)}$ under the isomorphism in (7.12). Thus it suffices to show that

$$\left(\bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i\right)_{(\Gamma)} = (\varpi')^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i\right)_{\Gamma_j}\right)_{(\Gamma/\Gamma_j)}\right). \tag{7.13}$$

But this follows from the fact that, for $b \in \bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{i}} B_i$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $\varpi'(b - \gamma b) = \varpi'(b) - \bar{\gamma}\varpi'(b)$, where $\bar{\gamma}$ denotes the image of γ in Γ/Γ_j. □

Lemma 7.9. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\Bbbk[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_m^{\pm 1}]^{S_m} = \Bbbk[e_1, \dots, e_m, e_m^{-1}],$$

where e_ℓ denotes the ℓ-th elementary symmetric polynomial for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$. Here the action of S_m is by permutation of the variables $t_1, \dots, t_m$.

Proof. We clearly have $\Bbbk[e_1, \dots, e_m, e_m^{-1}] \subseteq \Bbbk[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_m^{\pm 1}]^{S_m}$, so it remains to prove the reverse inclusion. Suppose

$$y = \textstyle\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_m} c_{i_1,\dots,i_m} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_m^{i_m} \in \Bbbk[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_m^{\pm 1}]^{S_m}.$$

Since all but a finite number of the $c_{i_1,\dots,i_m}$ are zero, we can find a positive integer N such that $i_\ell + N \geq 0$ for all $\ell = 1, \dots, m$ whenever $c_{i_1,\dots,i_m} \neq 0$. Then

$$y = (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_m)^{-N} \textstyle\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_m} c_{i_1,\dots,i_m} t_1^{i_1+N} \cdots t_m^{i_m+N} \in e_m^{-N}\Bbbk[t_1, \dots, t_m]^{S_m} \subseteq \Bbbk[e_1, \dots, e_m, e_m^{-1}].$$

□

Corollary 7.10. *In the case of a twisted loop algebra, where $A = \Bbbk[t, t^{-1}]$ and the generator σ of Γ acts on $X_{\mathrm{rat}} \cong \Bbbk^\times$ by multiplication by a primitive $|\Gamma|$-th root of unity, then $\mathbb{A}_\Gamma^\lambda \cong (\mathbb{A}^\lambda)_\Gamma$.*

Proof. In this case, for $i \in I$, we have, by Lemma 7.9,

$$S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A \cong \Bbbk[e_1, \dots, e_{r_i|\Gamma_i|}, e_{r_i|\Gamma_i|}^{-1}] \subseteq \Bbbk[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_{r_i|\Gamma_i|}^{\pm 1}] \cong A^{\otimes r_i|\Gamma_i|}.$$

Viewing the above isomorphisms as identifications, it is easily seen that $(S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A)_{(\Gamma_i)}$ is the subring of $S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A$ generated by the e_ℓ for ℓ not a multiple of $|\Gamma_i|$. Thus

$$(S^{r_i|\Gamma_i|}A)_{\Gamma_i} = \Bbbk[e_{|\Gamma_i|}, e_{2|\Gamma_i|}, \dots, e_{r_i|\Gamma_i|}, e_{r_i|\Gamma_i|}^{-1}]$$

is reduced and the result follows from Theorem 7.8. □

Appendix A. Some results in commutative algebra

This appendix contains some technical results from commutative algebra that are used in the proof of Theorem 4.17.

Definition A.1 (Rank)**.** If R is an integral domain and X is a finitely-generated R-module, we define the *rank* of X to be $\operatorname{rank} X := \dim_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}X)$, where $S = R \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition A.2. *Suppose O is a Noetherian local domain with unique maximal ideal m. Let Y be a finitely-generated O-module. Then*

$$\dim_{O/\mathsf{m}} Y/\mathsf{m}Y \geq \operatorname{rank} Y,$$

and if equality holds, then Y is free over O with rank equal to $\operatorname{rank} Y$*.*

Proof. Set $n = \dim_{O/\mathsf{m}} Y/\mathsf{m}Y$ and choose $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ whose images are a basis of $Y/\mathsf{m}Y$ over O/m. By Nakayama's Lemma, the elements $y_1, \dots, y_n$ generate Y over O. Then $y_1, \dots, y_n$ span $S^{-1}Y$ over $S^{-1}O$, where $S = O \setminus \{0\}$, which proves the first part of the proposition. If equality holds, then $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are linearly independent over $S^{-1}O$, and hence linearly independent over O; that is, Y is free over O with basis $y_1, \dots, y_n$. □

Corollary A.3. *Let R be a Noetherian integral domain, X be a finitely-generated R-module and m be a maximal ideal of R. Then*

$$\dim_{R/\mathsf{m}} X/\mathsf{m}X \geq \operatorname{rank} X,$$

and if equality holds, then X_{m} is free as a module over R_{m}, with rank equal to $\operatorname{rank} X$*.*

Proof. The localization R_{m} is a local ring with a unique maximal ideal n. Then $\operatorname{rank} X_{\mathsf{m}} = \operatorname{rank} X$, and moreover

$$\dim_{R/\mathsf{m}} X/\mathsf{m}X = \dim_{R_{\mathsf{m}}/\mathsf{n}} X_{\mathsf{m}}/\mathsf{n}X_{\mathsf{m}},$$

since a basis $x_1+\mathsf{m}X, \dots x_k+\mathsf{m}X$ of $X/\mathsf{m}X$ over R/m passes to a basis $x_1+\mathsf{n}X_{\mathsf{m}}, \dots, x_k+\mathsf{n}X_{\mathsf{m}}$ of $X_{\mathsf{m}}/\mathsf{n}X_{\mathsf{m}}$ over $R_{\mathsf{m}}/\mathsf{n}$. The corollary then follows by applying Proposition A.2 with $O = R_{\mathsf{m}}$ and $Y = X_{\mathsf{m}}$. □

Lemma A.4. *Let R be an integral domain and X a finitely-generated R-module. Then there is a nonempty open subset $U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ such that for each maximal ideal $\mathsf{m} \in U$, we have* $\dim_{R/\mathsf{m}} X/\mathsf{m}X = \operatorname{rank} X$*.*

Proof. Set $S = R \setminus \{0\}$ and choose a basis $\frac{x_1}{a_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{a_n}$ of $S^{-1}X$ over $S^{-1}R$, where $n = \operatorname{rank} X$, $x_i \in X$ and $a_i \in S$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Rescaling gives a basis $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of $S^{-1}X$ over $S^{-1}R$.

Now choose a finite set $\{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$ of generators of X as an R-module. For $j = 1, \dots, N$, we can consider g_j as an element of $S^{-1}X$ and thus write it in the form

$$g_j = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{ij}}{b_j} x_i \quad \text{for some } b_j \in S,\ a_{ij} \in R,\ 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where we found a common denominator for the coefficients of the x_i. Thus

$$g_j \in \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n R_{b_j} x_i,$$

where R_{b_j} denotes the localization of R at the multiplicative set generated by b_j. Let T denote the multiplicative subset of R generated by $b_1, \dots, b_N$. Thus, X_T is generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$ over $T^{-1}R$. Since $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are linearly independent over $S^{-1}R$ they are also independent over $T^{-1}R$, and thus $X_T \cong R_T^{\oplus n}$.

We claim it now follows that $U = \bigcap_{j=1}^N D_{b_j}$ satisfies the condition in the statement of the lemma, where $D_{b_j} = \{\mathsf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) \mid b_j \notin \mathsf{p}\}$ is the basic open set associated to b_j. To see this, it suffices to show that for each maximal ideal $\mathsf{m} \in U$, the set $\mathcal{B} := \{x_i + \mathsf{m}X\}_{i=1}^n$ is a basis of $X/\mathsf{m}X$ over R/m.

We first show that $\mathcal{B}$ is linearly independent. Suppose that

$$0 = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n (r_i + \mathsf{m})(x_i + \mathsf{m}X), \quad r_i \in R,\ x_i \in X, \tag{A.1}$$

that is $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j y_j$ for some $m_j \in \mathsf{m}$ and $y_j \in X$. Now, in X_T we have, for $j = 1, \dots, k$,

$$y_j = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{s_{i,j}}{t_{i,j}} x_i \quad \text{for some } t_{i,j} \in T,\ s_{i,j} \in R.$$

Thus

$$\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{s_{i,j} m}{t_{i,j}} x_i = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j y_j = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i,$$

and so, by the independence of the x_i over $T^{-1}R$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{s_{i,j} m}{t_{i,j}} = r_i$ for each i. Therefore, $r_i \prod_{j=1}^k t_{i,j} \in \mathsf{m}$. Since $\mathsf{m} \in U$, we have $b_j \in R \setminus \mathsf{m}$, for each $1 \le j \le N$. Thus $T \cap \mathsf{m} = \varnothing$. Hence $\prod_{j=1}^k t_{i,j} \notin \mathsf{m}$, and so $r_i \in \mathsf{m}$. Thus the linear combination (A.1) is trivial.

Finally we show that $\mathcal{B}$ spans $X/\mathsf{m}X$ over R/m. Suppose $x + \mathsf{m}X \in X/\mathsf{m}X$. In X_T, again we write

$$x = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{s_i}{t_i} x_i \quad \text{for some } t_i \in T,\ s_i \in R.$$

Setting $t = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i$ and $t_i' = \prod_{j \neq i} t_j$, we have

$$tx = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i' x_i.$$

Since m is maximal, there exists $r \in R$ such that $1 - rt \in \mathsf{m}$, and we have

$$trx = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i' r x_i.$$

Reducing modulo m, it follows that

$$x + \mathsf{m}X = (1 + \mathsf{m})x + \mathsf{m}X = (rt + \mathsf{m})x + \mathsf{m}X = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n (s_i t_i' r + \mathsf{m})(x_i + \mathsf{m}X),$$

which shows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a spanning set and completes the proof. □

Proposition A.5. *Let R be a finitely generated algebra over a field such that R is an integral domain and let X be a finitely-generated R-module. Suppose that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\dim_{R/\mathsf{m}} X/\mathsf{m}X = n$ for all maximal ideals m of R. Then X_m is a free R_m-module of rank n for all maximal ideals m of R.*

Proof. By Lemma A.4 there is a nonempty open set $U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$ with the property that for each maximal ideal $\mathsf{m} \in U$, we have $\dim_{R/\mathsf{m}} X/\mathsf{m}X = \operatorname{rank} X$. Since R is finitely generated, the maximal ideals of R are dense in $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, and so there is at least one maximal ideal in U. Thus $\dim_{R/\mathsf{m}} X/\mathsf{m}X = \operatorname{rank} X$ for all maximal ideals m. Applying Corollary A.3 completes the proof. □

Theorem A.6 ([Bou85b, Chap. II, §5, no. 2, Th. 1])**.** *Let R be a commutative ring and P an R-module. Then P is a finitely generated projective module if and only if P is a finitely presented module and, for every maximal ideal m of R, P_m is a free R_m-module.*

Corollary A.7. *Suppose R is a finitely generated algebra over a field such that R is an integral domain and suppose P is a finitely generated R-module. Furthermore, assume that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\dim_{R/\mathsf{m}} P/\mathsf{m}P = n$ for all maximal ideals m of R. Then P is projective. Moreover, if R is a generalized Laurent polynomial ring $\kappa[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_\ell^{\pm 1}, s_1, \dots, s_m]$, $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, over a field κ, then P is free of rank n over R.*

Proof. The first part of the corollary follows immediately from Proposition A.5 and Theorem A.6. The second part follows from the Quillen-Suslin Theorem (see, for example, [Lam06, Cor. V.4.10]). □

References

[ABFP09] Bruce Allison, Stephen Berman, John Faulkner, and Arturo Pianzola. Multiloop realization of extended affine Lie algebras and Lie tori. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(9):4807–4842, 2009.

[AKL94] D. D. Anderson, Kent R. Knopp, and Rebecca L. Lewin. Ideals generated by powers of elements. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 49(3):373–376, 1994.

[BBC+] M. Bennett, A. Berenstein, V. Chari, A. Khoroshkin, and S. Loktev. Macdonald Polynomials and BGG reciprocity for current algebras. Preprint: arXiv:1207.2446 [math.RT].

[BCM12] M. Bennett, V. Chari, and N. Manning. BGG reciprocity for current algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 231(1):276–305, 2012.

[BF] A. Braverman and M. Finkelberg. Weyl modules and q-Whittaker functions. Preprint: arXiv:1203.1583v2 [math.RT].

[BN04] Jonathan Beck and Hiraku Nakajima. Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum affine algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 123(2):335–402, 2004.

[Bou75] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique*. Hermann, Paris, 1975. Fasc. XXXVIII: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre VII: Sous-algèbres de Cartan, éléments réguliers. Chapitre VIII: Algèbres de Lie semi-simples déployées, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1364.

[Bou85a] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique*. Masson, Paris, 1985. Algèbre commutative. Chapitres 5 à 7. [Commutative algebra. Chapters 5–7], Reprint.

[Bou85b] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique*. Masson, Paris, 1985. Algèbre commutative. Chapitres 1 à 4. [Commutative algebra. Chapters 1–4], Reprint.

[CFK10] V. Chari, G. Fourier, and T. Khandai. A categorical approach to Weyl modules. *Transform. Groups*, 15(3):517–549, 2010.

[CFS08] V. Chari, G. Fourier, and P. Senesi. Weyl modules for the twisted loop algebras. *J. Algebra*, 319(12):5016–5038, 2008.

[CL06] Vyjayanthi Chari and Sergei Loktev. Weyl, Demazure and fusion modules for the current algebra of sl_{n+1}. *Adv. Math.*, 207(2):928–960, 2006.

[CP01a] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley. Integrable and Weyl modules for quantum affine sl_2. In *Quantum groups and Lie theory (Durham, 1999)*, volume 290 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 48–62. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[CP01b] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley. Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras. *Represent. Theory*, 5:191–223 (electronic), 2001.

[Dal99] John Dalbec. Multisymmetric functions. *Beiträge Algebra Geom.*, 40(1):27–51, 1999.

[EGH+] P. Etingof, O. Goldberg, S. Hensel, T. Liu, A. Schwendner, D. Vaintrob, and E. Yudovina. Introduction to representation theory. Available at http://www-math.mit.edu/~etingof/replect.pdf (accessed February 20, 2013).

[FKKS12] G. Fourier, T. Khandai, D. Kus, and A. Savage. Local Weyl modules for equivariant map algebras with free abelian group actions. *J. Algebra*, 350:386–404, 2012.

[FL04] B. Feigin and S. Loktev. Multi-dimensional Weyl modules and symmetric functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 251(3):427–445, 2004.

[FL07] G. Fourier and P. Littelmann. Weyl modules, Demazure modules, KR-modules, crystals, fusion products and limit constructions. *Adv. Math.*, 211(2):566–593, 2007.

[FMS] G. Fourier, N. Manning, and P. Senesi. Global Weyl modules for the twisted loop algebra. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.*, to appear. Preprint available at arXiv:1110.2752v2 [math.RT].

[Gar78] H. Garland. The arithmetic theory of loop algebras. *J. Algebra*, 53(2):480–551, 1978.

[Hoc56] G. Hochschild. Relative homological algebra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 82:246–269, 1956.

[HS97] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach. *A course in homological algebra*, volume 4 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997.

[Hum72] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9.

[Hum75] James E. Humphreys. *Linear algebraic groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21.

[Kac90] Victor G. Kac. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 1990.

[Lam06] T. Y. Lam. *Serre's problem on projective modules*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[Nak01] Hiraku Nakajima. Quiver varieties and finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(1):145–238, 2001.

[Nao12] Katsuyuki Naoi. Weyl modules, Demazure modules and finite crystals for non-simply laced type. *Adv. Math.*, 229(2):875–934, 2012.

[NSa] E. Neher and A. Savage. Extensions and block decompositions for finite-dimensional representations of equivariant map algebras. Preprint: arXiv:1103.4367v1 [math.RT].

[NSb] E. Neher and A. Savage. A survey of equivariant map algebras with open problems. *Contemp. Math.*, to appear. Preprint available at arXiv:1211.1024 [math.RT].

[NSS12] E. Neher, A. Savage, and P. Senesi. Irreducible finite-dimensional representations of equivariant map algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(5):2619–2646, 2012.

[Sav] A. Savage. Equivariant map superalgebras. Preprint: arXiv:1202.4127v1 [math.RT].

[Sch52] L. Schläfli. *Über die Resultante eines Systemes mehrerer algebraischer Gleichungen – Ein Beitrag zur Theorie der Elimination*. IV Bd. der Denkschriften der math.-naturw. Classe der kaiserl. Akad. d. Wiss. Kaiserl. Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, 1852.

G. Fourier: Mathematisches Institut der Universität zu Köln
E-mail address: gfourier@math.uni-koeln.de

N. Manning: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa
E-mail address: nmanning@uottawa.ca

A. Savage: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa
E-mail address: alistair.savage@uottawa.ca